Exhibit V – Chief John McGrath's Deposition

Page 193

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
3	Reporter of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify:
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
5	before me at the time and place herein set forth;
6	that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
7	prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record
8	of the proceedings was made by me using machine
9	shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my
10	direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true
11	record of the testimony given to the best of my
12	ability.
13	Further, that before completion of the
14	proceedings, review of the transcript [X] was
15	[] was not requested pursuant to NRCP 30(e).
16	I further certify I am neither financially
17	interested in the action, nor a relative or employee
18	of any attorney or party to this action.
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date
20	subscribed my name.
21	
22	Dated: August 13, 2019
23	a solution of the solution of
24	GALE SALERNO, RMR, CCR #542
25	GILL DILLING, MILL, COL #012

```
Page 1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                      DISTRICT OF NEVADA
     TRINITA FARMER, individually,
                                   ) Case No.
                                   ) 2:18-cv-00860-GMN-VCF
           Plaintiff,
              vs.
     LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
                                      CONDENSED
     DEPARTMENT, a political
     Subdivision of the State of
                                      TRANSCRIPT
     Nevada; KENNETH LOPERA,
     individually; TRAVIS CRUMRINE, )
     individually; MICHAEL TRAN,
     individually; MICHAEL FLORES,
     individually,
13
           Defendants.
16
                 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
                DEPUTY CHIEF JOHN MCGRATH
             Taken on Wednesday, July 31, 2019
                       At 9:38 a.m.
                  Held at Lagomarsino Law
           3005 West Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 241
                   Henderson, Nevada 89052
24
     Reported By: Gale Salerno, RMR, CCR No. 542
```

All-American Court Reporters (702) 240-4393 www.aacrlv.com

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	2 (Pages 2 to 5)
Page 2	Page 4
APPEARANCES: For the Plaintiff, Trinita Farmer: ANDRE M, LAGOMARSINO, ESQ. Lagomarsino Law 3005 West Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 241 Henderson, Nevada 89052 (702) 383-2864 For the Defendant, Kenneth Lopera: DANIEL R. MCNUTT, ESQ. McNutt Law Firm, P.C. 625 South 8th Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 384-1170 For the Defendants, LVMPD, Crumrine, Tran and Flores: CRAIG R. ANDERSON, ESQ. Marquis Aurbach Coffing 10001 Park Run Drive Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 (702) 384-1170 Also Present: JESSE JAMES MATHIS, Videographer STEPHANIE ANDERSON, Paralegal	DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS EXHIBIT Marked Exhibit A Article, Las Vegas 105 Review-Journal, July 29, 2019 Exhibit B Arrest Report, Bates P002368 to 111 2375 Exhibit C LVMPD Use of Force Procedure, 130 Bates LVMPD 0007 to 0009 Review-Journal, July 29, 2019 Exhibit C LVMPD Use of Force Procedure, 130 Bates LVMPD 0007 to 0009
Page 3 INDEX Page Examination by Mr. Lagomarsino 6 Examination by Mr. McNutt 80 Examination by Mr. Anderson 167 Further Examination by Mr. Lagomarsino 171 Further Examination by Mr. Anderson 187 Further Examination by Mr. Anderson 187 Further Examination by Mr. Anderson 189 PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS EXHIBIT Marked EXHIBIT Marked Exhibit 1 Neck Restraint Research through 26 Major City Chiefs Association, Bates LVMPD 1362 to 1384 Exhibit 8 Defendant's First Supplement to 31 Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents Exhibit 9 Defendants' Fifth Supplement to 76 Initial Rule 26(a)(1)(a) Disclosure of Witnesses and Exhibits Exhibit 10 Crime Scene Color Photos, Bates 171 LVMPD 2254 to 2273 Exhibit 11 Color Photos, Bates LVMPD 2311, 172 2310, 2309	Page 5 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DEPUTY CHIEF JOHN MCGRATH July 31, 2019 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. Today is July 31st, 2019. The time is approximately 9:38 a.m. This begins the video deposition of Deputy Chief John McGrath. We are located at Lagomarsino Law, 3005 West Horizon Ridge Parkway, Suite 241, Henderson, Nevada, 89052. My name is Jesse James Mathis, court videographer with Las Vegas Legal Video. This is United States District Court, District of Nevada, Case Number 2:18-ev-00860-GMN-VCF, in the matter of Trinita Farmer versus Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, et al., Defendants. This video deposition is requested by the attorneys for the Plaintiff. And will counsel and all present please state your appearances for the record. MR. LAGOMARSINO: Andre Lagomarsino and Stephanie Anderson for the Plaintiff. MR. MCNUTT: Dan McNutt on behalf of Ken Lopera.

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

	3 (Pages 6 to 9)
Page 6	Page 8
MR. ANDERSON: Craig Anderson on behalf of Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, Officers	A. Approximately five years. Q. What were your specific job
³ Crumrine, Tran and Flores.	³ responsibilities with respect to constitutional
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.	4 policing?
5 And the witness may now be swom in by	5 A. Yeah, I was only there for three months,
Gale Salerno for All-American Court Reporters.	but in constitutional policing, that captain is part
7	of executive staff. So you're the only person that's
8 DEPUTY CHIEF JOHN MCGRATH,	not appointed by the sheriff to be part of executive
having been first duly sworn, was	staff and the only captain that's on executive staff.
examined and testified as follows:	Secondly, there's three parts of
11	constitutional policing: FIT, which is the Force
12	constitutional ponomis. Tri, without to the roree
	investigation ream, which investigates use or love
	and eliminar anegations, and makes a report to ale
DI Mic El Colva Mon 10.	assist attorney 5 office, and saomins that to mom
Q. Good Morning. Could you prouse state your	and they decide whether diere's dry eximinal charges.
name for the record.	The Children meldent review ream, which
17 A. John McGrath, M-c-G-r-a-t-h. 18 O. Have you ever had your deposition taken.	iooks at the acties the officers employed of the
Q. Thave you ever mad your deposition taken	Supervisors, to see it there's any time that they
19 before? 20 A Yes	could have done setter or any possesses that need to
74. 105.	go change intarea to that moraem.
Q. On how many occasions?	ind then ore, when is a section that
A. I don't recall, but at least once for this	busically is looking out for the officers involved in
23 case.	critical incidents, their welfare. And also they're
Q. Are you familiar with the instructions and	responsible for patting out awareness reports, which
admonitions that go along with depositions?	is something that immediately we want to let the
Page 7	Page 9
¹ A. Yes.	department know that we have an issue or a problem
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath?	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes.	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately.
1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. So we'll skip those today.	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight?
1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. So we'll skip those today. 5 Could you please tell me your current job	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes.
1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. So we'll skip those today. 5 Could you please tell me your current job 6 position?	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean?
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training.	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position?	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department.
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years.	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with Metro?	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of A. It's merit-based, but you don't have to
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with Metro? A. I was a captain.	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of A. It's merit-based, but you don't have to test, so you're just chosen. So he gets to pick
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with Metro? A. I was a captain. Q. And what were your job duties and	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of A. It's merit-based, but you don't have to test, so you're just chosen. So he gets to pick who's on executive staff, but you can be also
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with Metro? A. I was a captain. Q. And what were your job duties and responsibilities as a captain?	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of A. It's merit-based, but you don't have to test, so you're just chosen. So he gets to pick who's on executive staff, but you can be also unappointed and put back to your previous rank of
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with Metro? A. I was a captain. Q. And what were your job duties and responsibilities as a captain? A. As a captain I had several different	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of A. It's merit-based, but you don't have to test, so you're just chosen. So he gets to pick who's on executive staff, but you can be also unappointed and put back to your previous rank of captain.
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with Metro? A. I was a captain. Q. And what were your job duties and responsibilities as a captain? A. As a captain I had several different assignments, including Northwest Area Command,	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of A. It's merit-based, but you don't have to test, so you're just chosen. So he gets to pick who's on executive staff, but you can be also unappointed and put back to your previous rank of captain. Q. And were you appointed?
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with Metro? A. I was a captain. Q. And what were your job duties and responsibilities as a captain? A. As a captain I had several different assignments, including Northwest Area Command, Internal Affairs, Criminal Intelligence, and Internal	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of A. It's merit-based, but you don't have to test, so you're just chosen. So he gets to pick who's on executive staff, but you can be also unappointed and put back to your previous rank of captain. Q. And were you appointed? A. Yes.
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with Metro? A. I was a captain. Q. And what were your job duties and responsibilities as a captain? A. As a captain I had several different assignments, including Northwest Area Command, Internal Affairs, Criminal Intelligence, and Internal Oversight in Constitutional Policing. Different	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of A. It's merit-based, but you don't have to test, so you're just chosen. So he gets to pick who's on executive staff, but you can be also unappointed and put back to your previous rank of captain. Q. And were you appointed? A. Yes. Q. Who appointed you?
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with Metro? A. I was a captain. Q. And what were your job duties and responsibilities as a captain? A. As a captain I had several different assignments, including Northwest Area Command, Internal Affairs, Criminal Intelligence, and Internal Oversight in Constitutional Policing. Different bureaus within the agency.	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of A. It's merit-based, but you don't have to test, so you're just chosen. So he gets to pick who's on executive staff, but you can be also unappointed and put back to your previous rank of captain. Q. And were you appointed? A. Yes. Q. Who appointed you? A. The sheriff.
A. Yes. Q. Basically you understand you're under oath? A. Yes. Q. So we'll skip those today. Could you please tell me your current job position? A. I'm a Deputy Chief with Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department over the Professional Standards Division, which includes Human Resources and Organizational Development, which is all of training. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. About two and a half years. Q. Prior to that, what was your position with Metro? A. I was a captain. Q. And what were your job duties and responsibilities as a captain? A. As a captain I had several different assignments, including Northwest Area Command, Internal Affairs, Criminal Intelligence, and Internal Oversight in Constitutional Policing. Different	department know that we have an issue or a problem with this incident and we want to change their behavior immediately. Q. Is OIO Office of Internal Oversight? A. Yes. Q. When you stated that it's the only captain not appointed by the sheriff, what does that mean? A. So members of an executive staff, deputy chiefs and above, are chosen by the sheriff. You don't test for those positions. And so he appoints you to that position, and then you become an appointed person, a part of the department. Q. What difference does it make if you're not appointed? Is it a merit-based type of A. It's merit-based, but you don't have to test, so you're just chosen. So he gets to pick who's on executive staff, but you can be also unappointed and put back to your previous rank of captain. Q. And were you appointed? A. Yes. Q. Who appointed you?

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

	4 (rayes 10 to 15)
Page 10	Page 12
Page 10 Q. That's okay. So prior to being a captain, what position did you hold? A. Lieutenant. Q. And what were your job responsibilities as lieutenant? A. I was a patrol lieutenant at Enterprise Area Command, and then I was admin lieutenant on the Strip at Convention Center Area Command. And then I was in the gang unit for the majority of my time as a lieutenant. Q. And how long were you a lieutenant? A. I think about five years. Q. And how long did you work at the Convention Center Area Command? A. I think about a year. Q. This incident with Tashii Farmer occurred in the Convention Center Area Command; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. Prior to being a lieutenant, what was your position? A. I was a sergeant. And I'll go through those assignments, because I guess that's what you're looking for.	A. I recovered a stolen vehicle my first shift of my first day, so I won't forget it. MR. MCNUTT: Is that like a Model T or what? THE WITNESS: No. It wasn't the first car made. It was the first car I saw when I started to go to work and two guys were pushing it down Fremont Street. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. That's the kind of stuff that happens downtown. A. It is the kind of stuff that happens downtown. A. It is the kind of stuff that happens downtown. And they don't teach you how to do a felony car stop of two guys pushing a car either. Q. So prior to the sergeant you were patrol? A. Yes. Q. And approximately how long were you in patrol before you became a sergeant? A. I think about seven years. Q. When you started working with Metro, had you just moved to Las Vegas? A. Yes. Q. What brought you to Las Vegas? A. The job. I was going to college and I tested to come out here, and then when I graduated I
Page 11 Q. Sure. A. I was a patrol sergeant, a field training sergeant. PSU sergeant, which is problem solving unit, which is a plainclothes unit assigned to patrol. And then I was in property crimes, which is a detective sergeant position. And then I was in auto theft, and also a viper, which is an auto theft task force, which is undercover. Q. How long as a sergeant?	page 13 just started the Academy a couple of months later. Q. You went to the University of Massachusetts Lowell? A. Yeah. Q. Graduated in '92 with a degree in criminal justice? A. Right. Q. Graduated high school in '78? A. Yep. Q. Had you ever been in the military? A. Yes. I was in the Army.
A. Seven or eight years. I hope this all adds up to 27. Q. Maybe it will be more and you can retire early. A. The longer you're on, you start to forget some of the numbers of years you're in certain assignments. Q. I bet you remember what your first day was though right?	12 Q. How long were you in the Army? 13 A. Four years, '85 to '89. 14 Q. I'm assuming you were honorably discharged? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. When you referenced your responsibilities 17 over professional standards, what does that entail? 18 A. So there's two different bureaus. And just 19 to note that that's different from my last deposition

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Page 16 Page 14 involved in policymaking and the issues we had with I'm the head of the commendations board, which hears all the commendations on the department. different department policies. And so I don't have I am the uniform committee. There's no one that anymore. So currently, I have Organizational else on it but me, because the sheriff doesn't want a Development Bureau, which is -- includes the police lot of changes to the uniforms. So if there's a change, I just meet with him and he says whether or academy, field training, critical incident -- the not he approves it. crisis intervention team, sorry, CIT. And then all Q. Okay. of training which is range, driver's training, which A. I'm on the employee health and welfare we call EVOC, reality-based training, advanced 10 10 trust as a management representative. officer skill training, and MACTEC. 11 And then on the human resources side, I sit on the use of force board, which a 12 12 few -- two or three or four a month of those boards. there's a selection and classification, which is 13 13 basically the hiring of officers, background Q. Do you sit on any budgeting boards? 14 14 investigations on new employees and recruiting. A. No. Thank God. 15 15 Q. In terms of the uniform committee, there's Q. Do you have direct reports? 16 been some discussion in this case about BDUs. 16 A. Yes. 17 17 Q. Who are your direct reports? Q. Are you familiar with what a BDU is? 1.8 18 A. Dennis O'Brien is the captain over organizational development. And Joe Sobrio is a 19 19 A. Yes. 20 director who is over human resources currently. 20 Q. What does that stand for? 21 21 A. Well, it's a military term for battle dress Q. And who are their direct reports, to your 22 22 uniform, but for us it means greens, which is a green knowledge? 23 23 uniform that basically I think they're just 511s, if A. Director Sobrio has Lieutenant Terry 24 you know what those are. They're a plain uniform 24 Bernard and two managers on the selection and with square pockets that the buttons are covered. classification side, Teresa Turtletaub, Page 15 Page 17 T-u-r-t-l-e-t-a-u-b, and Adam Markwell, common Q. What's the criteria to determine whether somebody wears the tan uniform like you're wearing today or the BDU? And then on the organizational development side, their direct reports, Captain O'Brien's reports A. So the bureau commander can determine what the uniform is for different squads within his are Misty Pence is the lieutenant over the Academy, Andy Hendrickson is the lieutenant over most of Q. And is there a set of written criteria as training. And just last week we got Chris Holmes. to how to make that determination? He's a lieutenant over advanced training, which I A. Yes and no. It's really up to the bureau didn't mention before. But that's -- all the 10 commander. But you can't put everyone in BDUs. They training officers take and which includes supervisor 11 11 have most BSU and flex teams on -- all the area training. 12 12 commands have the option of wearing the green Q. Do you sit on any committees at Metro? 13 13 A. So I have a lot of additional duties. So I uniforms or at the bureau commander's discretion 14 plainclothes, depending on their assignment. 14 guess that's what you're asking me for. 15 But the convention center is a little Q. Yes, sir. 16 16 different because they have additional officers that A. Hopefully, I'll remember them all. Maybe 17 17 they have specific jobs for that they put them in the I'll have to look at my calendar. 18 18 So I'm the chairman of the pre-termination 19 19 Now, if you're going to ask me what they board, so that's a board that does what I guess you 20 20 call Loudermill hearings, where it gives the employee are, I don't know exactly. But I know that they have 21 21 the flexibility to put more officers in the green the opportunity to say why they should stay on the 22 22 **BDUs** department. 23 23 Q. Have you or do you know of anybody that has Then I have to write a recommendation to

the sheriff to say whether we recommend the person be

terminated or not or change his discipline.

24

considered research as to whether wearing BDUs

creates kind of a militarized awareness for the

24

25

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

	Page 18	Page 20
	rage 10	-
1	officer?	him. Even though he had a gun in his hand, I threw
2	A. I don't think so. It's more for ease of	him on the ground. And as I was holstering my
3	well, first of all, these uniforms are more	weapon, a security guard who was trying to assist me
4	expensive. Those uniforms are a little bit more	4 shot him.
5	durable for officers that are doing different kinds	⁵ Q. Was that security guard with a hotel or
6	of assignments. Like we have our community-oriented	6 casino?
7	policing officers who are dealing with the homeless.	A. It was on Fremont Street. Some private
8	They're getting dirty all the time. Those uniforms	8 security.
9	are washable. These ones are have to be	9 Q. When you were sergeant, did you ever have
10	dry-cleaned.	to come onto the scene after one of your patrol
11	So there's different reasons why. They	officers had used deadly force?
12	just have to be justified to the bureau commander,	12 A. Yes.
13	then he has to authorize it.	Q. On how many occasions?
1,4	 Q. Do you know who the bureau commander was 	14 A. How many what?
15	for the convention center area command at the time of	Q. On how many occasions, approximately?
16	Tashii Farmer	A. I don't recall.
17	A. I think it was Captain Pelletier, but I'm	Q. More or less than five?
18	not 100 percent. And he's the bureau commander right	A. Probably less than five. And the
19	now.	procedures for officer-involved shooting weren't the
20	Q. Are you CIT certified?	same then that they are now.
21	A. If I am, it was over 15 years ago. And I'm	MR. MCNUTT: Weren't, like were not?
22	not currently certified. So you have to keep your	THE WITNESS: Were not the same. They were
23	certification up, and I'm not.	a lot more. This is what we do, this is how the
24	Q. I recall something in your prior deposition	investigation is going to go. I mean, back then it
25	of you saying once you get to a certain level of	was investigated by homicide.
	Page 19	Page 21
2	Page 19	Page 21
1	rank, you don't have to maintain certain	1 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:
2	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right?	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a
2	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out
2 3 4	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint?
2 3 4 5	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any.
2 3 4 5 6	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up.	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths
2 3 4 5 6 7	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today,	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay?	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me.	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident?	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident? A. I haven't used deadly force, but I was	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the details of how exactly it was determined the person
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident? A. I haven't used deadly force, but I was involved in an in-custody death a long time ago.	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the details of how exactly it was determined the person died because was it the neck restraint, was it the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident? A. I haven't used deadly force, but I was involved in an in-custody death a long time ago. Q. And what do you recall about that	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the details of how exactly it was determined the person died because was it the neck restraint, was it the intoxication of their either alcohol or drugs in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident? A. I haven't used deadly force, but I was involved in an in-custody death a long time ago. Q. And what do you recall about that incident?	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the details of how exactly it was determined the person died because was it the neck restraint, was it the intoxication of their either alcohol or drugs in their system, and was there other things that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident? A. I haven't used deadly force, but I was involved in an in-custody death a long time ago. Q. And what do you recall about that incident? A. Sure. It was a subject with a gun that I	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the details of how exactly it was determined the person died because was it the neck restraint, was it the intoxication of their either alcohol or drugs in their system, and was there other things that happened, or was it a physical issue that the person
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident? A. I haven't used deadly force, but I was involved in an in-custody death a long time ago. Q. And what do you recall about that incident? A. Sure. It was a subject with a gun that I was trying to get to put the gun down. And he	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the details of how exactly it was determined the person died because was it the neck restraint, was it the intoxication of their either alcohol or drugs in their system, and was there other things that happened, or was it a physical issue that the person had.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident? A. I haven't used deadly force, but I was involved in an in-custody death a long time ago. Q. And what do you recall about that incident? A. Sure. It was a subject with a gun that I was trying to get to put the gun down. And he refused. He had the gun in his hand, and there was a	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the details of how exactly it was determined the person died because was it the neck restraint, was it the intoxication of their either alcohol or drugs in their system, and was there other things that happened, or was it a physical issue that the person had. So I know that the person ended up
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident? A. I haven't used deadly force, but I was involved in an in-custody death a long time ago. Q. And what do you recall about that incident? A. Sure. It was a subject with a gun that I was trying to get to put the gun down. And he refused. He had the gun in his hand, and there was a language issue. He spoke Spanish and was highly	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the details of how exactly it was determined the person died because was it the neck restraint, was it the intoxication of their either alcohol or drugs in their system, and was there other things that happened, or was it a physical issue that the person had. So I know that the person ended up deceased, but I don't know the exact determination of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident? A. I haven't used deadly force, but I was involved in an in-custody death a long time ago. Q. And what do you recall about that incident? A. Sure. It was a subject with a gun that I was trying to get to put the gun down. And he refused. He had the gun in his hand, and there was a language issue. He spoke Spanish and was highly intoxicated and wasn't understanding what I was	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the details of how exactly it was determined the person died because was it the neck restraint, was it the intoxication of their either alcohol or drugs in their system, and was there other things that happened, or was it a physical issue that the person had. So I know that the person ended up deceased, but I don't know the exact determination of how that happened.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rank, you don't have to maintain certain certifications; is that right? A. Right, per POST. And the, you know, it's really I'm not dealing with day-to-day people that are have mental health issues, so there's no reason to keep my certification up. Q. Okay. Any time you need a break today, just let us know. We're happy to accommodate you. I anticipate today going about anywhere from two to three hours. So just let us know. Okay? A. Okay. I don't know if I have two to three hours' worth of information, but you can ask me. Q. Have you ever been involved personally in a deadly force incident? A. I haven't used deadly force, but I was involved in an in-custody death a long time ago. Q. And what do you recall about that incident? A. Sure. It was a subject with a gun that I was trying to get to put the gun down. And he refused. He had the gun in his hand, and there was a language issue. He spoke Spanish and was highly	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Have you ever gone to the scene as either a sergeant or a lieutenant for a death that arose out of some kind of a neck restraint? A. I don't recall any. Q. As you sit here, do you recall any deaths in your career strike that. While employed at Metro, do you recall any deaths caused by any Metro officer as a result of any kind of a neck restraint? A. So I know of several cases that were brought to my attention in the last deposition that I was asked questions about. And I'm aware of those cases, but I wasn't involved and don't know the details of how exactly it was determined the person died because was it the neck restraint, was it the intoxication of their either alcohol or drugs in their system, and was there other things that happened, or was it a physical issue that the person had. So I know that the person ended up deceased, but I don't know the exact determination of how that happened.

7 (Pages 22 to 25)

	/ (Pages 22 to 25)
Page 22	Page 24
Q. And those and we'll get to those later in the deposition today. A. Okay. Q. Independently, do you recall any others? A. No. I think I remember the one that happened before I joined the department, Charles Bush maybe. Q. What do you recall about that? A. Vice officers put a neck restraint on someone who was fighting with them and the person ended up dying. And so I don't know, was that improperly applied neck restraint or were there other issues involved, but that was a common one that was talked about on the agency. Q. In terms of your responsibilities of overseeing training, are there prior neck restraint incidents that are used as part of the training process now? A. I don't think that we generally talk about specific incidents in training going back 20 or more years. Q. Okay. A. And I certainly I don't think that that's how we train. We don't train about, you know, specific incidents that if you do this, because of	people want to go to. But when they ask you to go there, you kind of have to take that assignment. And it's actually you learn a lot in internal affairs. Criminal intelligence is more about knowing how to understand and give confidential briefings to the sheriff and being trusted with confidential investigations. And so I think that based on that assignment, that's why I was asked to do the next assignment. So it's more of a career progression of looking at what you're good at and what the sheriff trusts you with. Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the Department of Justice COPS assessment that was performed approximately 2012? A. Yes. Q. Did you have participation in that process? A. Yes. Q. Generally what was your participation? A. I just participated in different groups, giving feedback on use of force and use of force policy. I wasn't one of the main instructors or anything like that. But certainly was involved in ensuring that that process was done correctly. Q. Did you interact with individuals from the
this incident, this will happen. Q. Okay. Have you ever applied an LVNR? A. Only in training. Q. Tell me about that. A. Well, as an officer, you are trained to use the LVNR throughout the academy, and then you're recertified every year how to do the LVNR and then different ways and circumstances to use it. I just never found the time appropriate in my use of force to use that. Most of the people that I use force against decided to run and not fight. Q. In terms of your responsibilities with the IOCP. A. Uh-huh. Q. How did you get assigned to that? Did you volunteer for that? A. No. I guess they the sheriff asked me to go there. Q. Did you want to go there? A. Well, yes. It's a it's kind of a promotion, I guess. So but it's an important assignment. You know, but all the assignments I had outside of patrol I was asked to go to, too. You know, internal affairs is not the assignment most	Department of Justice? A. Yes. I participated in interviews and things like that. Q. And who came out from the DOJ? Was it attorneys or agents? A. My recollection would be people from the COPS office were different — they were all civilians, but I think that some of them did have legal training or legal background. But some of the interviews were like this where you would have different people asking you questions, and I couldn't tell you what all their backgrounds were. Q. Okay. Do you know how many assessments COPS performed on Metro? I mean written assessments they provided, I guess? A. I don't recall the exact number, but there was a lot. Q. So I'm familiar, there was the first report that came out that had a lot of recommendations and assessments. And then there was, like, a six-month follow-up that came out after that. Were you familiar with additional ones that were provided to Metro? A. I may have read additional follow-ups, but

8 (Pages 26 to 29)

	8 (Pages 26 to 29)
Page 26	Page 28
I know that all the recommendations that we were tasked with were done other than the ones that we decided were not didn't apply to our agency. Q. Without having the benefit of having those in front of you, do you recall which ones or any of them that you decided were not going to be applied? A. I used to know. But I don't recall right off the top of my head. And they were decided by the sheriff that, okay, this is something that we're not going to follow. And there was only a couple of those. Q. That was Sheriff Gillespie at the time? A. I believe so, yes. (Exhibit I was marked for identification.) BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. I've provided you with Exhibit I. This is a document that's been produced by Metro in this case. I can tell you it was our office that placed the highlighting on here. A. Okay. Q. Go ahead and just I understand there's quite a few pages here. Have you seen Exhibit I before?	cities within the United States above a certain number of population or officers are involved in the major city chiefs. Q. Were you ever part of any discussions internally in a meeting type of a setting where the topic was whether to allow the use of the LVNR at all? A. Yes. Q. How many do you recall? A. I think that I would say a couple. But not more than three. But it was more of a discussion about, okay, these are the changes we're recommending, and there was a give and take of why are you saying we should keep it versus change it to a lesser a lesser part of the policy where we reduced it from you can't use it in these circumstances but you can and how to simplify it. So it was an overall discussion about are we going to keep it, and if we are going to keep it, are we going to change policy at all. Q. And how does that work in terms of the recommendation and like, who makes a recommendation and who makes the decision? A. So I think that it was tasked to IOCP to make the changes in the use of force. But I think
A. No. I don't think so. Q. Well, let me ask you generally, are you aware that Metro conducted research after the Farmer incident regarding whether strike that assessing other agencies who may have allowed the use of the LVNR? A. Yes. Q. How did you become aware of that? A. So when the sheriff said, hey, we need to look at LVNR, and part of that included serving other agencies, and then looking at our policy and seeing if we need to make any changes in policy. As part of training training is involved in that, the use of force committee which looks at the changes at the use of force. And then because of how high profile this case was, that I became involved in that. So in other words, I wasn't involved in creating the questions or but I did see this now that I look at it. But I didn't review every one. It was more of the summary of what the agencies produced that I was aware of. Q. Okay. What is the Major City Chiefs Association? A. I think it's just what it says. Major	that it was also involving the use of force committee which involves people from outside IOCP to be involved, too. Which includes a lot of training. The actual trainers who were teaching LVNR and then the trainers wouldn't be involved in the survey, but they would just be reading the survey and looking at the results of the survey and policies from other agencies that they would look at and maybe change some of the wording because someone may have better wording than we have. So we're always trying to get to the best policy that we can. Q. What is your personal opinion on the use of the LVNR as a use of force option at Metro? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. Go ahead and answer. THE WITNESS: I think that there are times when it's appropriate to use and the ease of it makes it better for the officers to use the least amount of force as possible to make someone comply with their direction. And there are officers that are better at it than others and feel more comfortable with it. But that's no different than some of our other tools that we use. Some officers like the Taser. Some

9 (Pages 30 to 33)

Page 32 Page 30 page 24, it's going to be -- it was Exhibit C that officers like to go hands-on. Some feel more comfortable with LVNR. was attached to the responses. And then after that there are some pages that Metro produced with And I think that was one of the reasons why we didn't take it away is there are situations where statistics and data. someone is facing away from you that an officer can Do you have those in front of you? use the LVNR that's the best tool for that situation Q. Have you ever seen these statistics before? in that scenario, and we didn't want to take that away from officers. Q. And in what context have you seen these BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: 10 statistics? Q. Okay. Now, you had mentioned earlier in 11. your testimony that after the Farmer incident, the 11 A. So I would say that officer of internal 12 12 oversight, constitutional policing produces a report policy was changed to where there were certain 13 13 circumstances where the LVNR could be used before but of use of force yearly, and then they also produced 1.4 14 could now not be used. five year reports that I've seen. 15 15 A. Right. Q. Do you know when that started? 16 16 A. No. Q. And I'm assuming that has to do with 17 Q. So kind of going through the bullets here intermediate level of force options and those type of on the first page, it says, "The following statistics 18 things? 19 19 include LVNR data from 2012 year to date 2017." It A. Right. 20 20 says: "92 percent of LVNRs are performed by police Q. Are you able to, without having the policy 21 21 in front of you -- and understanding you probably officers, 8 by correction officers." 22 22 don't have a photographic memory -- able to Next bullet it says: "On average, the LVNR 23 23 articulate the differences in the policies at this was effective 68 percent of the time. Thus far in 24 24 2017 the technique has been effective 57 percent of point? 25 the time." And this was year-to-date through May 15 A. So the basics of it is, is you could use Page 33 Page 31 the LVNR as a restraining hold and now you can't. of 2017, which was I believe just prior to the Someone has to be aggressively resisting you to be incident in this case. What does it mean -- what does the word able to use the LVNR. MR. LAGOMARSINO: Counsel, we've premarked "effective" mean in this context when they say it's these so we're going to go a little out of order. 68 percent effective? This is going to be Exhibit 8. A. I believe it means the subject -- the (Exhibit 8 was marked for technique was effective. The subject was taken into 8 custody without any other technique or tool having to identification.) 9 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. I've handed you Exhibit 8, which is a 10 Q. Do you know if that term -- obviously 11 11 compilation type of an exhibit. effective is a fairly --12 12 The first page for the record is Defendant A. Broad. 13 13 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department's First Q. -- broad term, correct. Thank you. 14 14 Supplement to Responses to Plaintiff's First Set of Do you know if that term is defined 15 15 anywhere if it's effective in this context? Requests for Production of Documents. And going to page 12, the plaintiff asked 16 A. I don't know where it's defined, but I'm 17 for copies of all use of force reports filed by 17 sure there had to be a definition of it to be able to 18 18 come up with that statistic. members of Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department 19 19 from 2004 to the present. Metro initially objected. But you're right, it is broad, and that 20 20 what could be effective to me might not be effective The parties went through a process of meeting and 21 21 conferring and narrowing that time period, and then to someone else. Because to me an LVNR that's 22 produced certain statistics. So I want to ask you effective means that the subject stopped resisting, 23 23 about those statistics here. and I was able to take him into custody. 24 24 So if you would go -- this goes all the way But if it didn't work and I had to go to a 25 to page 24. If you go to the next page after different tool, does that mean it's effective or

10 (Pages 34 to 37)

	10 (rages 34 co 37)
Page 34	Page 36
ineffective? It might just mean that it was easier to use something else. Or another officer arrived and we're able to use hands-on to take that subject into custody. So it's really hard to determine effectiveness. Q. With respect to these statistics, it looks like at least they were generated starting in 2012 based on this document. Is that your understanding, at least from 2012? A. Yes. And I think that might have to do with this COPS intervention. Q. And then would these statistics be considered on a yearly basis when they're generated by Metro? A. Yes. Q. And you mentioned they would do five-year data as well? A. Yes. Q. So if this is a five-year data report, would you have seen this particular document? A. So I've seen five-year reports, but I can't say specifically. I saw 2012 to 2017. But I know I just reviewed the 2018 report; and so in other words, five-year reports continue on.	MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Well, based I mean, I can't recall exactly all the things that were used in use of force on this case because I know there were strikes, there was Taser and there was LVNR. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Right. A. So to me, the effectiveness of all of those together I would say the subject was taken into custody and handcuffed, but some of those techniques were ineffective, and that's why they went to other techniques. Now, overall, I would say that use of force was not effective. Obviously because and most use of forces appear don't appear like they do in training. But I would say that the LVNR in this case was probably not effective. Q. Do you receive reports either on a daily, weekly, monthly or other regular basis that summarize media reports that could be perceived as negative towards Metro? A. As part of staff, PIO does a report that tells us any time Metro is in the media. So not just
Q. I see what you're saying. So they will go 2013 to '18? A. Yes. Q. '14 to '19? A. Right. Q. Do documents that are attached here appear to be true and correct copies of the documents generated by Metro with respect to LVNR statistics? A. Yes. Q. Are other use of force options evaluated for effectiveness the same way that the LVNR is evaluated? A. I believe so. But I'm not sure where the effective number comes from. Because it might come from the officer's opinion, is it effective? Or I don't know if it's evaluated in other ways. So I wish I did know. I could tell you. Q. I'm going to ask you a hypothetical question here. There's a difference of opinion in this case, as I'm sure you've heard, as to whether it was a rear-naked choke that was applied or an LVNR that was applied. Assuming hypothetically that it was an LVNR that was applied, would you consider the use of that technique effective in this case?	use of force. Q. So even if it was, like, a car accident and somebody is interviewed on the scene from Metro? A. I'm not aware of any car accidents, and I wouldn't review that one because I don't have relation to that. But the example is the one that was in the media, just I think it was yesterday or the day before, from LVNR that was applied to a water seller on the Strip. And that was from 2013. And it wasn't immediately apparent that it was from 2013 when they put it in the media. It looked like it just happened. But it was from five years ago and the technique was applied correctly. Whether or not the water seller needed to be LVNR'd, I don't know. But the technique worked and it was applied appropriately. You know, the question is whether we need to have someone who's conducting a misdemeanor crime LVNR'd. That's separate from was the technique applied correctly and did it work, to me. Q. Right. I saw the video. And it seemed like it was a pretty good angle to see what occurred and you can see the positioning of the A. Right.

11 (Pages 38 to 41)

	II (Pages 38 to 41)
Page 38	Page 40
Q hands and so forth. And of course, the events leading up to the application were not recorded. So as you say, it's hard to say whether it was necessary or not. A. And we didn't have body cameras at that time so you couldn't see the interaction between the officers and the person. Q. In this video, did the subject pass out? A. I think he did. But I sort of dismissed it when I heard it was in 2013. So I'm aware of it and I saw the technique was applied correctly, but I didn't get really that deep into it. But that's an example of stuff that is sent out by the PIO to us to say, hey, this is in the media. So you're aware of it. Q. And what do you do with that? Or is it just more of an awareness thing? A. Yes. More of an awareness thing. But I was told by someone else, I don't remember who, but that the LVNR was done right, and I looked at it and I was like okay, and I was just not that concerned with it. Q. Do you know if there was a lawsuit in that case? A. I think there was. And I think it was	that Metro sent out questions regarding the LVNR to other agencies, have you ever received e-mails from other agencies about the use of the LVNR? A. I'm sure we have, but I can't recall a specific agency or time. But it's very common for police agencies to share information on use of force or other policies that we use and what is the best wording or techniques to be used throughout policing. Q. Okay. I want to speak a little bit in generalities for the next question. So if I'm wrong on the language or the terms of art, please let me know. But it's my general understanding that if an officer is facing a situation where he or she is faced with deadly force being used against them, there really is no type of force that they're precluded from using to save their own life or defend themselves; is that correct? A. I'm sorry, that was a long question. I think it was correct. Q. It's like one of those Robert Mueller questions, right? So is there any use of force option that's taken off the table for an officer if that officer is facing a situation where their life is in danger?
dismissed. But like I said, when I heard it was 2 2013, it didn't just happen, then I wasn't as 3 concerned with it as I would be if it happened a 4 month or a year ago. Q. Okay. Getting to back to the research that 6 we're talking about, about other cities, whether they 7 allow the use of the LVNR or not, do you know how 8 that research was conducted? A. I can tell you generally how they conduct 10 research in OIO, which is they send e-mails out to 11 agencies, hey, I think it was a quick survey, a few 12 questions, hey, could you answer these questions for 13 us. And some agencies respond and some don't. Q. Do you strike that. 15 Does Metro ever get those types of e-mails 16 from other agencies as well to your knowledge? A. Yes. Q. And does Metro respond to those? A. Yes. And sometimes I get those and I ask 16 people to respond to them based on who sent it and 17 then who would be the best person to respond for our 18 agency. Q. Have you, knowing that Metro strike 19 that. Let me get a clean question here. Knowing	A. No. Q. Other than that specific situation that we just discussed, an officer facing a life or death situation, were there any opinions that you recall in Metro that the LVNR should be banned except for that situation? MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: I think there are restrictions in policy of when you can use certain techniques. And I think that we don't want officers to use the LVNR on subjects that have been cap stunned. I can't recall exactly what the other restrictions are. But there certainly are times when we restrict officers from using certain uses of force. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Were there any restrictions on the LVNR being used prior to the Tashii Farmer incident? A. Well, just appropriate use of force based on the circumstances of that call. So in other words, every use of force has to be justified by the officer. Q. Did you have an opinion as to whether Officer Lopera appropriately applied force to Tashii

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

Page 42 Page 44 oh, there he goes? No. I wouldn't do that either. Farmer? I would want to find out what was going on with MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form. Mr. Farmer because now any good officer would want to MR. ANDERSON: Join. THE WITNESS: So when you're looking at know why he was acting the way he was and why he was other people's use of force, it's a different going through that part of the casino. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: standard. You have to -- the officers have to Q. You're familiar, I'm sure, with the laws of articulate why they used force and why the amount of 8 force was necessary in that case. trespass, correct? 9 A. Yes. We didn't really get that from Officer 10 Q. There was -- to your knowledge, are there 10 Lopera. So you're looking at it just based on your 11 11 bright orange letters painted every 50 or 200 yards opinion and not what Officer Lopera articulated. 12 on the Venetian Hotel that say "no trespassing"? 12 So my opinion was, and I think I stated it 13 13 in a prior deposition, was that based on the 14 Q. And to your knowledge, had anybody from the circumstances, most officers would have chased 15 15 Venetian Palazzo requested Mr. Farmer to leave the Mr. Farmer. If he walked away and didn't want to 16 16 talk to you, you would let him walk away. premises? A. No. 17 But when he runs away and goes to a part of 18 Q. Do you believe that based on the 18 the casino that most people don't have access to, it 19 19 information that you've received in your makes you think there's something wrong or there's 20 participation in this case on the use of force board, 20 something going on that would make your curiosity 21 and that was presented to you, that there was pique to the point where you would want to find out 22 what's going on with Mr. Farmer. 22 probable cause to arrest Mr. Farmer for trespassing? 23 23 So I would have no problem with most MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. 24 Go ahead. 24 officers, most officers probably would chase someone THE WITNESS: When Mr. Farmer was in the who runs away from them. Page 45 Page 43 coffee shop or wherever he was, there was no probable The question is when you catch up to them, what do you do and how much force should you or do cause to arrest him for trespassing. When he went through the casino into the you use, and that's based on what crime you back under that -- whatever they call it of the articulate that Mr. Farmer has committed. And we casino, where he probably wasn't supposed to be and didn't get that. So I'm putting myself in that place of Mr. Lopera, Officer Lopera. wasn't allowed to go, could we have talked to Venetian and say was he supposed to be there, is this So I think that -- I think that when you use force, you should use force. In other words, we an area that you would consider that he was trespassing if he went to, that would take a more don't put our hands on someone unless we're using an 1.0 thorough investigation for me to find out if I had approved technique because most people don't like 11 probable cause to arrest him for trespassing. 11 when people put their hands on you. 12 But based on what I know, I don't think 12 So when you make that decision to put your 13 Officer Lopera had enough to arrest him for 13 hands on someone, you should put your hands on 14 1, 4 someone and use a technique to take them to the 15 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: 15 ground and to put handcuffs on them if you can Q. There was -- are you familiar that there 1.6 16 articulate the justification for an arrest. 17 17 was a bright red sign that said "exit" above the Because now when you put your hands on 18 18 someone and you use force, you should have a charge doors he went out? 19 A. I don't recall. But I would believe that to take them to jail. 2.0 20 So I can't get in Officer Lopera's mind to if you said there was one. 21 MR. LAGOMARSINO: I think we'll just take a 21 say what he was doing. But I would say that I would 22 quick five-minute break and come back. 22 not have done it the way that Officer Lopera did. 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 23 Just me as going back to the way I was trained and 24 24 approximately 10:36 a.m. We are going off the the decisions that I would make that night. 25 Now, would I let Mr. Farmer go and be like record.

13 (Pages 46 to 49)

	D 40
Page 46	Page 48
1 (A recess was taken from 10:36 a.m. 2 to 10:48 a.m.) 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4 approximately 10:48 a.m. We are back on the record. 5 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: 6 Q. As part of training, does Metro bring in 7 sometimes outside speakers or experts to provide 8 training to the officers? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Are you familiar with the names of any of 11 those experts that Metro may bring in? 12 A. It depends. So the question is kind of 13 broad, but we bring in training for all different 14 kinds of classes. Usually use of force is done by 15 our own trainers. 16 Q. Are you familiar with an individual named 17 Dr. William Smock? 18 A. No. 19 Q. Do you know if he's been hired by Metro to 19 provide training? 20 A. I'm not aware of his name or if he's been 21 hired. 22 Q. You have been trained on neck restraints; 23 is that correct? 25 A. Yes.	and then in defensive tactics, and then there are scenarios in field training where officers may use force which includes LVNR. And what happens when during training when officers use force is a field training officer would discuss with the trainee, okay, what about this technique or that technique, which may include LVNR. But there's no time when a field trainer would say, "LVNR him." Q. Okay. A. It's kind of up to you to use the force that you think is the best for that scenario. But after the scenario, the trainee the trainer would say you could have LVNR'd him in that case. Q. Okay. A. That would have been the most appropriate case in that circumstance. Q. And it's fair to say that before the shift, that the field training officer is not going to say, hey, let's go out and look for an LVNR situation tonight? A. Yeah, no. Absolutely not. That would never happen. Q. When you say LVNR is in training, are you
A. 165.	Q. // New you say 2 / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
D 47	Page 40
Page 47 Q. If the LVNR is applied incorrectly, do you agree that there's a potential for significant injury or death to the subject? A. Yes. Q. What is the Organization Development Bureau? A. What is that? Q. Yes. A. It's the bureau that works for me that basically is over training. Q. What's field training? A. Field training is when an officer graduates the Academy, he goes through field training for a period of time until he graduates training. Q. And is field training basically shadowing, or what exactly is it? A. No. There's three phases of field training, and in each phase the officer gets more and more freedom to become a police officer until at the last phase, where he is shadowed and we call solo	talking about the police academy? A. Yes. Q. And then after the police academy, then there is a series of certification or recertification that has to occur with the LVNR? A. That is correct. Q. What is reality-based training? A. Reality-based training is training officers are required to do where we put them in scenarios where they have to use make decisions about what kind of force they should use to resolve that scenario. Q. And is that done on, like, a computer or A. No. Q or is it live? A. It's hands-on live where we have role players and they go through a scenario. And then they use force or not use force. Or they go hands-on, or they might have to use their gun where they pull their gun out, and then they don't shoot

14 (Pages 50 to 53)

			14 (Fages 30 to 33)
	Page 50		Page 52
5 LVNR? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. After this incide 8 training video describin 9 A. I'm not sure wh 10 yes, he created a video 11 some videos that he die 12 about training, but it co 13 Q. Are those video 14 training? 15 A. No. They were 16 training. 17 Q. Are you familia 18 reality-based training e 19 the LVNR? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Do you know i 22 A. I don't think so	c, is it officer? d to sergeant now. ject matter expert in the ent, did he create a ng what the LVNR was? en he created the video. So . But I thought there was d before this incident, talk build have been after. so considered reality-based in addition to reality-based ar specifically with what exists with respect just to f there is? . bur job responsibilities	2	officer may be facing termination and they are allowed to retire to retain their benefits? A. So I am familiar with all the different processes. We have we also have a non-confirmation hearing, which I've done some of hose, and that would apply to people that are on probation. And if they resign prior to being non-confirmed, we say that they resigned. We allow people to resign prior to non-confirmation hearing. Like, at any time someone could resign. They could retire. But if they resign pending discipline or non-confirmation, we do note that in labor relations. Q. So for example, Sergeant Crumrine was not confirmed, correct? Well, the story continued after new was not confirmed, correct. Q. So Sergeant Crumrine was on probation as a sergeant at that time, correct? A. Right. Q. And then the decision was made at Metro to not confirm him? A. That's correct. Q. And then he disputed that; is that correct?
to whether somebody is a A. So the human res from my additional duty chairman. So you've kind of o there's a board that meets deputy chief, a commissi director. And each board people on it. And then the ultim sheriff. But what happer based on what the board sheriff whatever we reco ultimate decision-maker. And most of the ti not all of the time. Q. Okay. With Offi to retire in lieu of being to MR. MCNUTT: O MR. ANDERSON THE WITNESS: disposition was for him. BY MR. LAGOMARSI	which is pretermination board conflated those two. But so And it is myself as coned captain and a civilian dis could be different cate decision is the miss is I write a memo to him finds and recommend to the mmend. And then he's the me he agrees with me, but cer Lopera, was he allowed terminated? Objection. Form. Is Join. I'm not sure what his the	5 6 9 7 t 8 9 20 4 15 18 19 20 4 22 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	A. He went to arbitration. Q. Who was the arbitrator? A. I don't recall. And I wasn't involved in he arbitration for that. That was Sheriff Kelly. Q. Who participates in that arbitration, do you know? Maybe not that specific one but in general he arbitration process? A. So I represent the department in a lot of arbitrations because I am on the pretermination board. And they keep calling me in to ask what my recommendation was and why in the cases I'm involved in. So what happens in an arbitration is the department presents their case to the arbitrator, and the employee presents his case and the arbitrator makes a decision. And that applies to all the people that we terminate. Q. How is the arbitrator selected? A. I believe there's a list that the department submits a number of arbitrators and I think they alternate who chooses. But I'm not exactly sure. Q. Okay. A. I just show up and testify.

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

	15 (Pages 54 to 57)
Page 54	Page 56
Q. Okay. Ultimately, what happened with Sergeant Crumrine in the arbitration? A. The arbitrator ruled that he did enough to keep his job. Q. So is he now back to being a sergeant? A. He's back to being a sergeant. Q. Did you write the recommendation letter for non-confirmation to Sheriff Lombardo? A. No. That was Sheriff Kelly. Q. And Sheriff Kelly wrote a letter, to your knowledge, to Sheriff A. He writes a memo to recommend non-confirmation and the sheriff agreed with him. Q. What about with respect to Officers Tran and Flores? A. They weren't on probation, and I don't think that they received any discipline in this case. Q. Have you ever heard of an officer being terminated for improperly using an LVNR? A. I don't think so. Q. You testified earlier that some of the technology part of your responsibilities has been shifted over. Does that include body cameras? A. Yes. Q. Were you in charge of oversight of body	Q. When would you feel that it was appropriate pursuant to Metro policy for any officer who was on the scene to shut off their body camera? A. So officers are required to keep the body camera on while they're involved with a suspect. When the incident is over, they can turn the body camera off or when they're involved in discussions with their sergeant about what happened. Q. They're allowed to turn it off? A. Yes. Q. Are they allowed to turn strike that. Are officers allowed to turn their body camera off when they're having discussions with other officers about what happened? A. Yes. Q. Why is that? A. Because do you mean why are they allowed to turn it off? Q. Right. A. So the body camera policy, although it was written before I was involved, is meant to capture what happened during that incident and not to infringe on the officer's rights about to discuss why they did what they did. Q. You're on the use of force board, right?
cameras in May of 2017? A. Yes. Q. What's the general purpose of having the body camera being activated? A. So the officers' actions can be captured not only for the department but for the public to be able to see how officers interacted during this call. Q. Have you reviewed body camera footage in this case? A. Yes. Q. When would you feel it would be appropriate for an officer who was involved in this case to turn off the body camera? And let me lay some foundation there. So you had Officers Tran, Flores and Lopera and Sergeant Crumrine involved with Tashii Farmer, correct? A. Yes. Q. And then a whole host of other officers showed up at the scene including some that ultimately attempted chest compressions, correct? A. Yes. Q. And then at some point emergency personnel arrived and Tashii Farmer was taken away, correct? A. Yes.	A. Yes. Q. Is that the same thing as a tactical review board? A. So I'll explain the use of force board. So there is, the use of force board involves civilians. There's four civilians involved in every use of force board. And it is their job to determine, along with three members of the department, whether the use of force was justified or not. And there's four dispositions that we use. I guess I could try to remember them if I can. Q. Sure. A. Administrative approval, tactics decision-making, policy training failure or administrative disapproval. And so what we're trying to determine is was the use of force justified by law and within policy. And then after that part's over, that's the use of force board, the tactics decision the tactics are then reviewed in the tactics review board. Q. And is there a disposition from the tactics review board? A. What happens in the tactics review board is each officer's actions and supervisor's actions are

16 (Pages 58 to 61)

	In (Pages 36 to 61)
Page 58	Page 60
looked at separately. So yes, there's a disposition where they look at a lot of different factors within the tactics review board, and we agree with CIRT or disagree with CIRT or modified their findings during the use of during the tactics review board. And so there's I could guess, maybe 10 or 12 different areas that they look at, maybe 15, I'm not sure. And it's anywhere from the radio traffic to the communications. In other words, dispatchers and how that's done. Whether there was deescalation, what the use of force was, is it deadly force or other force. Was the supervisor's role done correctly. Was the POST use of force things done correctly. Was the training up to date. And I'm sure I'm missing some, but there's a lot of different things that we look at during the tactical review board that are part of standard procedure. Q. Okay. At the use of force board, is there a presentation made by CIRT? A. Yes. Q. Where physically in the building is it made? A. In headquarters there's an actual room,	1 Q. I'm trying to put it together here. So 2 there's basically one big presentation. 3 A. Yep. 4 Q. And you've got use of force board is 5 present. 6 A. And we take a break and we vote on that. 7 And then there's another presentation on the tactics. 8 Q. So a separate presentation? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Are tactics strike that. 11 Are members of the tactics board present 12 for the use of force board presentation? 13 A. No. The assistant sheriff is there, but 14 he's not a voting member of the use of force board. 15 Q. And I'm assuming during that presentation, 16 the officers there, the subject officer is present 17 for the presentation, correct? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. And is his or her union representative 19 there with them? 20 A. They're there during the presentation, but 10 not during the voting or the deliberations. 21 Q. So they leave the room basically? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Are these recorded or with a recording
there's a room that we use for it. It's called the action room. It's the LVMPD conference center, but it's generally referred to as the action room. Q. So who is present during that presentation? You have the seven people on the board? A. Yes. Q. And then who else? A. So the in addition to the use of force board, we go into the tactical review board. The officers chain of command is there, which is usually represented by the bureau commander. And then we have a tactical expert, a peer member for each officer involved. So if it's an officer, it's a peer officer. If it's a sergeant, it's a peer sergeant. Lieutenant, peer lieutenant. And each person votes based on that person involved. In other words, if there's an officer involved, the peer is allowed to vote. If there's a sergeant, the peer sergeant votes and the chain of command. And then myself and the assistant sheriff are involved in it. And we have a vote and give feedback and ask questions and we deliberate. It's just a regular board. I don't know how else to explain it.	device or a court reporter? A. The recording is done by the board and by the union. So there's usually two recordings. Q. So were you on Lopera's use of force board? A. Yes. Q. And who else was with you on that board? At least the non-civilians. A. Yeah, I don't recall. I know Sheriff Kelly was on there. And I believe it was Captain Pelletier. And then I don't remember who was the peer member. And there was a tactical expert, too. And I don't remember who that was either. It wasn't much of a board because Lopera didn't testify. Q. After he didn't testify, were there deliberations on the use of force board? A. We still continued with the board for Officer Tran, Officer Flores, Sergeant Crumrine. Q. Was there a disposition for Lopera out of that board? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall specifically what it was? A. No, I don't. And I do have a problem deciding, remembering the dispositions. Because what happens in the board is Sheriff Kelly, we have the

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

	17 (Pages 62 to 63)
Page 62	Page 64
board, and then Sheriff Kelly writes a memo to the sheriff. And so he's more involved in the dispositions of what happens to the people involved. In other words, training or some kind of discipline or for Sergeant Crumrine, he was the non-confirmation recommendation was made to the sheriff. But that's all done confidentially between Sheriff Kelly and the sheriff. So I don't get to see that. Q. So do you know what the dispositions were for those four individuals? A. I only know that Crumrine was recommended that he be non-confirmed as a probationary sergeant. And I don't know the other ones. Although we did discuss it. Q. And are the deliberations recorded? A. No. Q. So then going to the tactical review board aspect of it, is CIRT also making a presentation in that setting as well? A. So what happens is CIRT makes their presentation for the use of force board. And then we vote on the use of force. And then they come back and do another presentation related to the tactics, tactical review board.	Q. And is it your opinion that Sergeant Crumrine did not do enough to intervene? A. It's still my opinion, even though the arbitrator didn't agree with me. Q. Do you know how the citizens are selected to be on those boards? A. I don't know how the process works. Q. Do you recall any of the names of the citizens on the Lopera, Crumrine, Tran, Flores board? A. I don't recall. There's been too many boards since then. I know that it's spelled out in the policy of how long they can be on the board and they do, I think they serve a two-year term or three-year term. I don't know. Q. To your knowledge, do they do they apply to be on the board? A. Yes. Q. And are they compensated? A. No. Q. Do they have voting rights? A. Voting rights? Q. As to a determination of the disposition in the A. Yes. That's the whole purpose. There's more citizens voting than department members. So
So it's separate. Q. Okay. Were there any findings that you can recall from the tactical review board that you can share? A. I don't recall. I'm sorry. Q. That's okay. Specifically let me just ask you your opinion. Did Lopera utilize deescalation techniques? A. I don't recall any deescalation that I saw him use. Q. And did he lack deadly force? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: I did not see him use deadly force. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Were there any training issues that you had issues with? A. I'm sure there were. I don't recall specifics. And more concerning Sergeant Crumrine than the officers. Q. You testified in your prior deposition about Sergeant Crumrine not doing enough to intervene; is that correct? A. Yes.	they have four votes and we have three. But generally, the votes are pretty unanimous. Not always, but I run the use of force board just it's kind of the way the policy works. The assistant sheriff runs the board, but when it comes to the deliberations, he doesn't vote and I run the board and explain the dispositions to everyone on the board before we vote. And we discuss and deliberate just like any other group. Q. Do you recall whether or not the duty to intervene was evaluated with respect to Tran and Flores? A. It was evaluated this is all part of the tactics review board not the use of force disposition use of force board. Yes, it certainly was discussed. Q. And did the review board, the tactics review board, determine that Tran and Flores satisfied their duty to intervene? A. I don't know exactly what the disposition was for Tran and Flores. That was so it's not 100 percent one way or the other. But that would be written by Sheriff Kelly. I can expand on that if you would like.

18 (Pages 66 to 69)

Page 66 Page 68 her by a police officer who is trying to effect a Q. Yes, please. 2 lawful arrest, that the citizen has a right to use A. So it was our opinion that Tran and Flores 3 are dealing with Farmer, and the duty to intervene force against the officer to defend themselves? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. would be more on Sergeant Crumrine because he was THE WITNESS: I'm not sure if you're asking standing up overlooking the whole scene. Because if me is it my opinion or do we train that way. you're trying to handcuff someone, your focus is on that. And one who is standing above that would have BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Do you train on that? a better view of who is doing what and how or to what 9 A. I don't believe we train on what citizens to do to intervene. 10 can or can't do when officers are using inappropriate In other words, Sergeant -- and that's what I meant earlier when I said that Sergeant Crumrine 1.1 or excessive force. No, we don't train that. 12 12 didn't understand his role in overseeing this use of Q. Does Metro utilize POST training, Peace 1.3 13 Officer Standards and Training? 1.4 14 A. Yes. Since you brought that up, I'm on the Q. We've had a number of witnesses address the 15 15 duty to intervene so I'm going to go through it state POST board appointed by the governor. So that's another duty I forgot since you reminded me, pretty quickly here. 17 A. Okay. Q. The duty to intervene isn't just saying 18 Q. Are you familiar with Detective Alsup and 19 19 "stop." It doesn't end there, correct? Detective Colon? 20 20 MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. 21 21 Q. They performed the FIT investigation in THE WITNESS: I agree with you. It's more 22 22 than just saying "that's enough" or "stop." this case? 23 23 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: That's correct. 24 Q. Have you had experience interacting with Q. In other words, if the duty to intervene 25 them in the past? requires the use of a physical act such as, Page 69 Page 67 A. Yes. hypothetically, removing somebody's hand from an LVNR, that could be required in any given situation? Q. Also did you have experience interacting MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. with Sergeant McDonald? MR. LAGOMARSINO: That is a bad question. Q. Did Sergeant McDonald oversee -- strike BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: O. Talk to me about your understanding of how the duty to intervene can be satisfied by using Did Sergeant McDonald oversee Detective Alsup and Detective Colon? physical acts. 9 A. Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. 10 Q. Do you have any criticism of their 10 THE WITNESS: Sure. I think that a duty to 11 11 investigation in this case? intervene is more than just saying "stop." It may 12 12 mean using hands, your hands, or directing someone to 13 13 Q. Have you ever had any criticism of them? stop the action that's inappropriate. 14 14 A. I think I answered this before in the last BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: 15 15 deposition. That I don't know about criticism, Q. In terms of training Metro officers, are they trained that a citizen has the right to use 16 because criticism is kind of a broad term. 17 17 reasonable force in self-defense against an officer So, yes, I've given them feedback on their 18 18 who is using excessive force against that citizen? performance and things that they could do better. 19 1.9 But I think they're excellent detectives. And MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. 20 Sergeant McDonald has worked for me here and also MR. MCNUTT: Join. 21 21 worked for me in convention center. He's a good THE WITNESS: I don't believe that we train 22 22 sergeant. that 23 23 O. Did you have any criticism or feedback for BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: 24 24 O. Is your understanding that if a citizen is them in this particular case? 25 having excessive force used against them or him or A. I don't recall any.

19 (Pages 70 to 73)

```
Page 72
                                                  Page 70
           Q. You were asked the following question in
                                                                                  And then through that chain of command all
                                                                            the way up to the under sheriff and then it would be
        your last deposition so I want to see if you still
                                                                     3
                                                                            approved.
        have the same position.
              Hypothetically, a sergeant of an officer
                                                                               Q. What was your opinion on the use of force
        tells his officer to release a hold twice, does that
                                                                            policy that was in effect at the time of the Tashii
        sergeant have a duty thereafter, having asked him
                                                                            Farmer incident?
                                                                                  MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.
        twice to release the hold, to check and make sure
                                                                     8
        that he's released the hold?
                                                                            BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:
                                                                     9
              MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.
                                                                               Q. In terms of being simple or hard to
10
                                                                    10
              THE WITNESS: Yes. I think he should.
                                                                            understand or somewhere in between?
11
                                                                    11
                                                                               A. So I didn't have a problem with the policy
        BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:
12
                                                                    12
                                                                            at the time. But during the review when they made --
           Q. Did you have an opinion as to whether
                                                                    13
                                                                            recommended changes, I agreed with those changes that
13
        Lopera's use of the Taser was excessive on
                                                                    14
14
                                                                            it was simpler and easier to understand for the
        Mr. Farmer?
15
              MR. MCNUTT: Objection, Form.
                                                                    15
                                                                            officers and it was probably a better policy.
                                                                    16
16
                                                                                  But it's my opinion on all the times we
              THE WITNESS: It was excessive.
                                                                    17
17
        BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:
                                                                            make changes, we make changes to make the policy
1.8
                                                                    18
           Q. And did you have an opinion as to whether
                                                                            better and to make it easier to understand.
19
                                                                    19
        Lopera striking Mr. Farmer was excessive?
                                                                                  MR. LAGOMARSINO: Do you want to take
20
                                                                    20
              MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.
                                                                            another five-minute break? Normally we take a lunch,
                                                                    21
              THE WITNESS: That was a little bit harder
                                                                            but I'm only going to have about probably another
22
                                                                    22
                                                                            half hour left. So do you want to just power through
        to determine because I think that the use of the word
23
                                                                    23
        "strike" is something that's hard to determine
                                                                            instead of taking a long lunch? It's up to you.
                                                                    24
24
                                                                                  MR. ANDERSON: It's up to you.
        because they said 10 to 12 strikes, and it was hard
                                                                    25
25
        to say whether, how many times Officer Lopera struck
                                                                                  THE WITNESS: It's up to me?
                                                  Page 71
                                                                                                                      Page 73
                                                                                  MR. MCNUTT: I'm going to have an hour
        Mr. Farmer. He certainly threw a lot of punches, but
        I don't know how many actually struck him.
                                                                            after he's done. I mean, it may not take an hour,
                                                                            but I mean, I want you to -- let's budget an hour, so
        BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:
           Q. Can you talk in general, as you did at the
                                                                            to factor in your decision.
                                                                                  THE WITNESS: Well, I would rather have
        last deposition, about how Metro changes policies
                                                                            lunch than go another hour and a half, two hours,
        specifically with respect to the use of force?
           A. Sure. We're always trying to get better
                                                                            whatever.
        with our policies and make them simple and easy for
                                                                                  MR. LAGOMARSINO: Why don't we take an
                                                                     9
 9
        officers to understand. And usually use of force
                                                                            early lunch. That will give me a chance to pare down
                                                                    10
10
        policies are changed by IOCP as part of their job.
                                                                            my questions even more.
11
                                                                    11
                                                                                  THE WITNESS: Okay.
        In other words, they're the ones that oversee use of
                                                                    12
12
                                                                                  MR. LAGOMARSINO: What time do you want to
        force for the agency. But they're not always the
13
                                                                    13
                                                                            come back? It's 11:30. Do you want to say 12:45?
        ones that have ideas about changing the policy.
14
              But it would flow through them. And in
                                                                    14
                                                                                  MR. ANDERSON: That's good.
15
        general, use of force policies changed as a result of
                                                                    15
                                                                                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
                                                                    16
16
                                                                            approximately 11:33 a.m. We are going off the
        a critical incident.
                                                                    17
17
              Policies in general are -- the wording has
                                                                            record.
1.8
                                                                     18
                                                                                     (A recess was taken from 11:33 a.m.
        changed. The recommendation has changed to make it
                                                                     19
        better either through OIO or through the use of force
                                                                                     to 12:54 p.m.)
20
        committee. The policy has changed. It goes out for
                                                                    20
                                                                                  THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
21
        review. The people give feedback on what the
                                                                    21
                                                                            approximately 12:54 p.m. We are back on the record.
                                                                    22
22
                                                                            BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:
        policy -- if it's better or more effective. And then
23
                                                                    23
        that feedback is taken in and the policy goes back
                                                                               Q. I had an opportunity to pare down a lot of
24
                                                                    24
                                                                            my questions so it will be fairly brief --
        out for a chain of command review through OIO or
                                                                               A. Thank you. I meant that as a compliment.
        IOCP.
```

20 (Pages 74 to 77)

:			20 (Pages 74 to 77)
	Page 74		Page 76
1 Craig is g	oing to go ahead.	1	O. You had no involvement of that from an HR
	l right. In this case, are you aware	2	perspective or any other perspective?
	Yant was the union representative for	3	A. No.
4 Kenneth I		4	Q. I asked you earlier in the deposition about
5 A. Ye	=	5	whether your opinion was that the use of the Taser
	ased on your employment with the	6	was excessive and whether the striking was excessive,
	nt since 1992, were you aware of Brian Yant's	7	so I'm not going to reask you those questions.
•	ent in three controversial officer-involved	8	Do you have an opinion as to whether the
9 shootings		9	use of the neck restraint by Officer Lopera was
3110011163	: L. ANDERSON: Objection. Form.	1.0	excessive in this case?
1411	E WITNESS: No. I was aware of one of	11	MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.
***		12	THE WITNESS: I think the use of the
dieni. 1 d	on't know I might if I was reminded I		
	obably know the other ones. But I know the	13	LVNR so I'm sorry, can you just rephrase the
main one		14	question?
	LAGOMARSINO:	15	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:
•	cay. Well, I'll ask and I'll rephrase the	16	Q. So I'm going to generally use the term
•	because I think the counsel probably had an	17	"neck restraint" as opposed to "LVNR" or "rear-naked
	the word "controversial." So I'll	18	choke."
19 rephrase.		19	Regardless of which of those it was, do you
	re you aware that Brian Yant was involved	20	believe that Officer Lopera's use of that restraint
²¹ in three o	fficer-involved shootings?	21	for the period of time that he utilized it was
22 A. N).	-22	excessive in this situation?
23 Q. Y	ou had referenced in answer to your	23	A. Yes.
	estion about a shooting. Did that shooting	24	(Exhibit 9 was marked for
25 involve T	revon Cole?	25	identification.)
, , , , ,	Page 75	1	Page 77
1 A. Y	8	2	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Earlier in the deposition, I asked you some
	nd that was the gentleman who was flushing	3	questions about whether a citizen has a right to use
	a down the toilet, and Brian Yant shot him	4	force against an officer to defend him or herself.
	AR15 and killed him, correct?	5	And I believe it was your testimony that you said
/1, i		6	
	id you have any involvement at all in that	7	that Metro doesn't train on it. So I want to ask you a follow-up to that question.
	tion, administratively or otherwise?	8	· ·
	o. Nothing.		Co I've pleased in front of you a decument
8 A. N		9	So I've placed in front of you a document
8 A. N 9 Q. A	nd was it your understanding that Trevon	9	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine,
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was	unarmed?	10	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y	unarmed? es.	10 11	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you	10 11 12	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits.
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident	10 11 12 13	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident ndividual who they referred to as the candy	10 11 12 13	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii 15 bar robbe	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident ndividual who they referred to as the candy er. And it was alleged that he had stolen	10 11 12 13 14 15	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on here.
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii 15 bar robbe 16 some car	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident ndividual who they referred to as the candy er. And it was alleged that he had stolen dy from a convenience store. Brian Yant was	10 11 12 13 14 15	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on here. So if you turn to page 14 of the document.
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii 15 bar robbe 16 some car 17 chasing h	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident ndividual who they referred to as the candy er. And it was alleged that he had stolen dy from a convenience store. Brian Yant was him and shot him in the back.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on here. So if you turn to page 14 of the document. Under number 8, it's been identified, some documents
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii 15 bar robbe 16 some car 17 chasing b	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident individual who they referred to as the candy er. And it was alleged that he had stolen idy from a convenience store. Brian Yant was him and shot him in the back. ere you aware of that particular incident?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on here. So if you turn to page 14 of the document. Under number 8, it's been identified, some documents produced as LVMPD, Ethical Use of Force Course, LVMPD
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii 15 bar robbe 16 some car 17 chasing b 18 W 19 A. N	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident individual who they referred to as the candy er. And it was alleged that he had stolen dy from a convenience store. Brian Yant was aim and shot him in the back. ere you aware of that particular incident? o. I wasn't.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on here. So if you turn to page 14 of the document. Under number 8, it's been identified, some documents produced as LVMPD, Ethical Use of Force Course, LVMPD 420 through 719.
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii 15 bar robbe 16 some car 17 chasing i 18 W 19 A. N 20 Q. A	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident individual who they referred to as the candy er. And it was alleged that he had stolen dy from a convenience store. Brian Yant was him and shot him in the back. ere you aware of that particular incident? o. I wasn't. ind then there was another incident before	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on here. So if you turn to page 14 of the document. Under number 8, it's been identified, some documents produced as LVMPD, Ethical Use of Force Course, LVMPD 420 through 719. A. I see that.
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii 15 bar robbe 16 some car 17 chasing b 18 W 19 A. N 20 Q. A 21 that when	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident individual who they referred to as the candy er. And it was alleged that he had stolen dy from a convenience store. Brian Yant was him and shot him in the back. ere you aware of that particular incident? o. I wasn't. ind then there was another incident before re there was an individual some distance	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on here. So if you turn to page 14 of the document. Under number 8, it's been identified, some documents produced as LVMPD, Ethical Use of Force Course, LVMPD 420 through 719. A. I see that. Q. So we've attached some of those pages here.
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii 15 bar robbe 16 some car 17 chasing b 18 We 19 A. N 20 Q. A 21 that when 22 away, 20	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident individual who they referred to as the candy er. And it was alleged that he had stolen dy from a convenience store. Brian Yant was aim and shot him in the back. ere you aware of that particular incident? o. I wasn't. ind then there was another incident before the there was an individual some distance yards or so, who had a baseball bat in his	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on here. So if you turn to page 14 of the document. Under number 8, it's been identified, some documents produced as LVMPD, Ethical Use of Force Course, LVMPD 420 through 719. A. I see that.
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii 15 bar robbe 16 some car 17 chasing b 18 We 19 A. N 20 Q. A 21 that when 22 away, 20 23 hand, and	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident individual who they referred to as the candy er. And it was alleged that he had stolen dy from a convenience store. Brian Yant was aim and shot him in the back. ere you aware of that particular incident? o. I wasn't. ind then there was another incident before the there was an individual some distance yards or so, who had a baseball bat in his did Brian Yant shot him.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on here. So if you turn to page 14 of the document. Under number 8, it's been identified, some documents produced as LVMPD, Ethical Use of Force Course, LVMPD 420 through 719. A. I see that. Q. So we've attached some of those pages here. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, Andre, I would say this was produced in our initial
8 A. N 9 Q. A 10 Cole was 11 A. Y 12 Q. W 13 and see i 14 with an ii 15 bar robbe 16 some car 17 chasing b 18 W 19 A. N 20 Q. A 21 that when 22 away, 20 23 hand, and 24 W	unarmed? es. /ere you if I'll try to remind you f you can recall. There was an incident individual who they referred to as the candy er. And it was alleged that he had stolen dy from a convenience store. Brian Yant was aim and shot him in the back. ere you aware of that particular incident? o. I wasn't. ind then there was another incident before the there was an individual some distance yards or so, who had a baseball bat in his	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that's been produced in this case by Metro, Crumrine, Tran and Flores in the fifth supplement to their initial Rule 26(A)(1)(A) disclosure of witnesses and exhibits. We've produced a number of documents, but I've only handed you the one that I have questions on here. So if you turn to page 14 of the document. Under number 8, it's been identified, some documents produced as LVMPD, Ethical Use of Force Course, LVMPD 420 through 719. A. I see that. Q. So we've attached some of those pages here. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, Andre,

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

	Page 78	Page 80
1	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:	1 EXAMINATION 2 BY MR MCNUTT:
2	Q. In the attachment, do you see the bottom	
3	has something called Bates numbers where it says	Q. Sheriff McGrath, my name is Dan McNutt. We
4	A. Yep, I see it.	met earlier. I represent Ken Lopera. I've got a few
5	Q. So if you go to LVMPD 420, 0420.	5 questions for you.
6	A. Okay.	6 A. Thanks. Chief McGrath.
7	Q. It appears to be a cover page. And it	⁷ Q. I sorry, Chief McGrath.
8	says: "POST Commission, Peace Officers' Standards	8 A. I just didn't want you to keep going with
9	and Training, Ethical Use of Force."	9 the sheriff for your questions.
10	Do you have that in front of you?	Q. I don't even if I did, I don't think we
11	A. Yes.	could write you in on the ballot.
12	Q. And it says that 2015 on the bottom	12 A. No.
13	left-hand corner.	Q. Is being under the influence of a
14	Does this appear to be a cover page that's	controlled substance a crime in the state of Nevada?
15	commonly used in training for Metro?	15 A. Yes.
16	A. No.	Q. Is carjacking a crime in the state of
17	Q. Have you ever seen this before?	17 Nevada?
18	A. I don't recall ever seeing it.	¹⁸ A. Yes.
19	Q. All right. And then are you aware if Metro	Q. Is trespassing a crime in the state of
20	has a course called POST ethical use of force?	20 Nevada?
21	A. I don't believe we do. But that doesn't	21 A. Yes.
22	mean we do or don't. I've never heard of it, but it	Q. Striking a police officer a crime in the
23	could be called something slightly different. When	23 state of Nevada?
24	POST has these courses, we generally meet their	24 A. Yes.
25	criteria, but we could call it a different name.	Q. If so, then why did Kelly McMahill say that
	criteria, but we could can it a different name.	Q. 11 50, alon villy did nonly mornamic day and
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	Page 79	Page 81
	Page 79	Page 81
.1.	Q. Okay. All right.	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would
1 2	_	
	Q. Okay. All right.	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If
2	Q. Okay. All right.A. And it might be just included with our use	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges?
2 3	Q. Okay. All right.A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If
2 3 4	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough.
2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure.	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that.
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, 	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on 	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. 	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. 	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A person has the right to use reasonable force only in	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him? A. No. It doesn't change my answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A person has the right to use reasonable force only in self-defense against an officer who is using	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him? A. No. It doesn't change my answer. Q. Is it fair to say that since those are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A person has the right to use reasonable force only in self-defense against an officer who is using excessive force during a lawful arrest."	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him? A. No. It doesn't change my answer. Q. Is it fair to say that since those are crimes, Officer Lopera, had Tashii Farmer survived,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A person has the right to use reasonable force only in self-defense against an officer who is using excessive force during a lawful arrest." Is it your understanding still after	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him? A. No. It doesn't change my answer. Q. Is it fair to say that since those are crimes, Officer Lopera, had Tashii Farmer survived, could have, in fact, charged Tashii Farmer with a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A person has the right to use reasonable force only in self-defense against an officer who is using excessive force during a lawful arrest." Is it your understanding still after reading that that based on your own personal	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him? A. No. It doesn't change my answer. Q. Is it fair to say that since those are crimes, Officer Lopera, had Tashii Farmer survived, could have, in fact, charged Tashii Farmer with a crime? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A person has the right to use reasonable force only in self-defense against an officer who is using excessive force during a lawful arrest." Is it your understanding still after reading that that based on your own personal knowledge, Metro does not train on this?	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him? A. No. It doesn't change my answer. Q. Is it fair to say that since those are crimes, Officer Lopera, had Tashii Farmer survived, could have, in fact, charged Tashii Farmer with a crime? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: That would be up to him to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A person has the right to use reasonable force only in self-defense against an officer who is using excessive force during a lawful arrest." Is it your understanding still after reading that that based on your own personal knowledge, Metro does not train on this? A. I'm not aware of any training that we use	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him? A. No. It doesn't change my answer. Q. Is it fair to say that since those are crimes, Officer Lopera, had Tashii Farmer survived, could have, in fact, charged Tashii Farmer with a crime? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: That would be up to him to articulate. And if he could do that, then yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A person has the right to use reasonable force only in self-defense against an officer who is using excessive force during a lawful arrest." Is it your understanding still after reading that that based on your own personal knowledge, Metro does not train on this? A. I'm not aware of any training that we use for that that contains that language.	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him? A. No. It doesn't change my answer. Q. Is it fair to say that since those are crimes, Officer Lopera, had Tashii Farmer survived, could have, in fact, charged Tashii Farmer with a crime? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: That would be up to him to articulate. And if he could do that, then yes. You named a lot of things, a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A person has the right to use reasonable force only in self-defense against an officer who is using excessive force during a lawful arrest." Is it your understanding still after reading that that based on your own personal knowledge, Metro does not train on this? A. I'm not aware of any training that we use for that that contains that language. Q. Okay. All right.	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him? A. No. It doesn't change my answer. Q. Is it fair to say that since those are crimes, Officer Lopera, had Tashii Farmer survived, could have, in fact, charged Tashii Farmer with a crime? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: That would be up to him to articulate. And if he could do that, then yes. You named a lot of things, a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. All right. A. And it might be just included with our use of force training, and ethical use of force might be a section. I'm more than guessing but I don't know for sure. Q. No, I understand. And just for the record, we still have a deposition ahead of us where we're going to have Metro designate certain individuals on certain training. So my understanding, this is just based on your personal knowledge. A. Right. Q. So going to LVMPD 433. And under number 14, it says: "A person has a right to use self-defense against an officer's excessive force. A person has the right to use reasonable force only in self-defense against an officer who is using excessive force during a lawful arrest." Is it your understanding still after reading that that based on your own personal knowledge, Metro does not train on this? A. I'm not aware of any training that we use for that that contains that language.	had Tashii Farmer survived this event, that he would not have faced any criminal charges? A. I think I would rather have her answer. If that's a hypothetical, I guess I could answer because I don't know her exact reasons for saying that. Q. Fair enough. MR. ANDERSON: Just for the record, it was Kevin McMahill. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. My apologies, Kevin McMahill. Does that change your answer? A. No, it doesn't. Q. Other than from her to him? A. No. It doesn't change my answer. Q. Is it fair to say that since those are crimes, Officer Lopera, had Tashii Farmer survived, could have, in fact, charged Tashii Farmer with a crime? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: That would be up to him to articulate. And if he could do that, then yes. You named a lot of things, a lot of possible crimes, some of which are easier to

22 (Pages 82 to 85)

	22 (rages 02 to 05)
Page 82	Page 84
Certainly well, I'll just leave it at that. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. In your role in your employment with Metro, have you reviewed Officer Lopera's CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. And are you aware that Officer Lopera stated myriad times through the CIRT statement that his impression or belief was that Tashii Farmer was under the influence of a controlled substance? A. Yes. Q. So if that was his perspective, would that provide reasonable suspicion to detain Tashii Farmer? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. You can answer. A. Yes. Q. And from that point on, are you aware at any point since the event to now that Tashii Farmer was, in fact, under the influence of illegal methamphetamines? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. It was in the autopsy.	A. Yes. More likely he would arrest him for trespassing if he could get that on, and then wait on the blood later on. Q. We'll get to the trespass in a minute. A. Sorry. Q. Are you aware that in Ken Lopera's CIRT statement that he testified that he believed that Tashii Farmer was going to carjack a vehicle outside the Venetian? A. Yes. Q. And irrespective of 20/20 hindsight, is it reasonable to accept Ken Lopera's perception of those events happening very quickly to justify detaining Tashii Farmer at that point? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. For questioning under reasonable suspicion manner, yes. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. What are examples of deescalation techniques? A. The first one would be getting more resources there. In other words, if you're by yourself, getting more officers there. Because someone would probably fight with you one on one versus you see two or three officers. So we found if
BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So, in fact, Ken Lopera's perception was correct, that Tashii Farmer was under the influence of a controlled substance, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that would have justified arresting Tashii Farmer and taking him to jail; isn't that true? A. As long as he could articulate it and get a search warrant for his blood for being under the influence of a controlled substance, yes. So it's not quite as simple as it used to be to arrest someone for being under the influence of a drug. When I was an officer, I could just arrest you and articulate it and that would be it. Now you have to get a blood draw, and then the DA won't call in the charges until the blood comes back. So yes, it's all possible. I'm not sure if he has the ability to do a telephonic search warrant. Not every officer does. It takes some training. Q. But it's true that Tashii Farmer could have been detained for that entire period of time in handcuffs at least awaiting that search warrant for his blood, correct?	we get more officers there, that helps to deescalate. Talking is deescalation. Backing off from using force until you get more officers there is another form of deescalation. And deescalation could also be using tools so you don't have to use deadly force. Now, in this case there was no weapons involved in Tashii Farmer, but what generally we talk about with deescalation is using less lethal tools so we don't have to use lethal force. So the Taser, the bean bag, 40-millimeter cap stun. All those things are deescalation if you're trying to prevent the use of lethal force. Q. When an officer broadcasts a Code Red, does that indicate to other officers that they should respond to that, or is that merely a communications technique to open up the channel? A. Well, so there's no yes or no answer to that. It's both. It does shut the channel down so that everyone knows there's an emergency there. And automatically officers would go to that call. Q. Are you aware that Officer Lopera did, in fact, call for a Code Red over the radio? A. Yes. And a foot pursuit. Q. So that would constitute one mechanism of

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

	23 (Pages 86 to 89)
Page 86	Page 88
deescalation technique, correct? A. Yes. Q. He's seeking to have other officers there, correct? A. He would have to answer that for me. But it's certainly a way to clear the channel and let people know that there was something going on where he needed help. Q. Do you recall Officer Lopera giving verbal commands to Tashii Farmer? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall at any point that Tashii Farmer complied with those verbal commands? A. I didn't see any, that he complied. Q. You said in a question, in response to a question from Mr. Lagomarsino, that the use of the LVNR or neck restraint, whatever the exact question was, he asked you if it was excessive in your opinion and you said yes. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. Why do you think it was excessive? A. So his question also included based on the length of time that the LVNR or whatever neck restraint was applied was on there, and that's what I	A. You're right. And for how long it was applied. Q. And do you know what caused Tashii Farmer to go unconscious, i.e., whether it was from the neck restraint or whether it was from exhaustion or whether it was from the use of illegal narcotics? A. No. I don't know. Q. Is it possible that Tashii Farmer went unconscious because he was under the influence of illegal methamphetamine? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. Foundation. THE WITNESS: I would say I don't know that. I've never seen anyone go unconscious from using meth. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. You said that the use of the Taser was excessive. A. Yes. Q. Is that because Officer Lopera used it more than three times? A. Yes. Outside of policy. Q. Right. And so Metro policy just correct me if I'm wrong. Metro policy is that an officer can use an ECD device up to three times, correct? A. That's correct.
thought was excessive. On the video it appears like after he was unconscious, the LVNR or whatever restraint hold, was still on there too long. Q. Can you tell from the video how long Ken Lopera had pressure applied to Tashii Farmer's neck? A. No. You can't tell. Q. Can you tell from the video how long or excuse me, what angle or application the LVNR 1, 2, or 3 Ken Lopera was attempting to utilize? A. You can't tell because they're both moving around, and the camera angle doesn't show you that. Q. Is it fair to say that at various points when Ken's encircling arm is around Tashii Farmer's neck that no pressure was applied because you simply don't know, correct? A. You can't you're right. You can't tell how much pressure was applied throughout the time. Q. So do you think it would be accurate to say that a neck restraint or an LVNR or a rear-naked choke was applied to Tashii Farmer for the entirety of the time that his encircling arm was around Tashii Farmer? A. Definitely not. Q. Because you cannot tell whether any pressure was applied, correct?	Q. And then at that point they should use some other control technique, correct? A. They should determine that the use of Taser is ineffective and switch to another. Q. Isn't it also true that Metro policy allows an officer to deviate from that policy if certain circumstances exist such as the officer is undersized compared to the suspect or the officer is alone? A. Deviate from the policy of? Q. That you cannot use the ECD more than three times? A. I would have to review the policy. I can't remember if it says that. Q. So you testified earlier that you know who Sergeant Bland is, and he's been identified A. Yes. Q. — in the estate case as a subject matter expert? A. Yes. Q. He testified to that fact. He testified that an officer alone could absolutely use an ECD device more than three times if that was the best opportunity, especially if the officer was undersized compared to the suspect. MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Misstates.

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

Page 92 Page 90 BY MR. MCNUTT: this all being videotaped, it looks terrible. Q. Do you disagree with his opinion? And so that to me, I believe CIRT said it was excessive. But, you know, to me I'm more A. No. Here's what I would say. That concerned with the Taser use and the LVNR than I am officer, every officer that uses force, has to with the strikes because I know some of the strikes justify the force they use. So if they go outside of did not hit him. policy, they have to explain that and that may or may BY MR. MCNUTT: not be justified. 8 Q. Now, let's go back to the chain of command. Q. And so had Ken Lopera explained that to 9 you, you may have said something different, then The CIRT, the Critical Incident Review Team, do they 10 10 okay, that use of the ECD device was okay or within report to you? 11 1.1 A. No. 12 12 Q. Who do they report to? A. Well, I guess it's a little different in 13 13 A. The captain of IOCP, which at the time was this case because there was punches, there was Taser 14 14 Kevin McMahill. and there was LVNR. So you can't just take one part 15 Q. Now, you sat on Officer Lopera's use of of that and say that's excessive or this is not 16 within policy or that. 16 force board, correct? 17 17 It's a use of force that in that incident A. Yes. 1.8 Q. Let's go back to the ECD for a minute with 18 all is included together. 19 19 respect to it can be used three times and then the So he would have to articulate I did this 20 20 officer should look to some other use of force. and this wasn't effective, so I moved to this. And 21 21 If you pull the ECD trigger a fourth time, then this wasn't effective, I went to that. And if 22 22 but it has no connection and does not complete the he did that correctly, even though some of the things 23 circuit, so, therefore, transfers no energy to the might be outside of policy, doesn't say how many times you can strike someone. Because how many 24 24 suspect, is that a violation of policy because you 25 pulled the trigger on the ECD but it didn't transfer strikes you did, you can't really tell. But we all Page 93 Page 91 any energy? know if you -- if he was to connect with all those punches to Tashii Farmer's head that would have been A. So here's what I would say. Yes, it's a violation of policy, but that doesn't mean that excessive. But we didn't say that was excessive person did something wrong. because we couldn't tell how many punches actually So in other words, if you do it more than three times, a supervisor has to investigate why did hit him and what kind of damage or reaction it had to you do it more, and was it -- was it actually change Tashii Farmer's behavior. His behavior didn't attached, was it effective. And so that's part of Q. So on the strikes, Metro has no opinion as the investigation the supervisor would do. 9 10 10 Q. Are you aware of whether or not all of the to whether those were excessive because of that fact 1.1 11 cyclings of the ECD in this case were -- had complete that you don't know how many connected? 12 12 A. Well -circuit closure such that the device could transfer 13 13 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Hold on. energy to Tashii Farmer? 14 14 A. I am not aware of that. I just don't know. 15 15 Objection to the extent you're asking for Q. Okay. If Sergeant Bland is designated as a 16 16 Metro's opinion. It's not a 30(b)(6) witness. subject matter expert on defensive tactics, neck 17 17 restraint and things of that nature in this case, You can answer. 18 18 would you defer to his opinion regarding some of THE WITNESS: And I guess my opinion, not 19 19 Metro's opinion, which I'm a little bit -- I mean, I these questions that I asked you on those topics? 20 20 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. know I'm here to testify for that, but I believe that 21 21 when someone is on the ground, we shouldn't be THE WITNESS: Yes. 22 22 striking them at all. That's my opinion because BY MR. MCNUTT: 23 23 you -- it looks worse than it's effective. Q. You said earlier that you didn't have an 24 24 opportunity to get this info from Officer Lopera. So my problem is more with effectiveness 25 Do you recall that, generally? than excessive use of force. And especially with

25 (Pages 94 to 97)

	23 (lages 54 co 57)
Page 94	Page 96
A. Yes. Q. When Mr. Lagomarsino was questioning you about the use of force board, you said it wasn't much of a board because Officer Lopera did not show up and testify. A. Right. Q. As per his right, correct? A. Well, not per department policy. But that's his I guess his right. Q. It's his option? A. Yes. Q. There's consequences to that option? A. Yes. Q. But you have, in fact, received some of this information about Officer Lopera's perceptions and beliefs and actions and the justification for his conduct because you've read his CIRT report, correct? A. Yes. You're right. Q. Okay. So does that inform you that did you find his CIRT report to be unavailing, or did you want to hear it from him personally as what's the disjunct there? A. Yes. You're right. And that's what I was trying to get at. And I did read his statement and read the CIRT report. But that did still lead me to	THE WITNESS: Well, the statement is to find out from the officer why he used force and for him to justify it. And part of that is to determine what kind of follow-up we as an agency need to do. Now, in the majority of cases, officers need some sort of training to fix any deficiencies we find or any issues in his statements that don't match what he should be saying per policy. In other words, people who make mistakes don't understand our use of force policy People that understand our use of force policy make the better decisions and we very rarely see them making bad decisions. So I would say that based on the decisions Officer Lopera made, it would be very difficult for him to convince me that he was justified in his actions. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So you testified earlier that you believe most officers encountering Tashii Farmer, watching him flee into what I'll call the back of the house or an employee area only of the casino, would pursue Tashii Farmer, correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you think that officers have at that
questions I wanted to ask the use of force board. Like I could do to Sergeant Crumrine whose answers were probably the reasons why he was recommended for a non-confirmation. So in that board, the answers you give and your attitude and the way you answer the questions does have a play in your credibility. Q. So if Officer Lopera had testified at the use of force board, is there a chance in your mind that you would have found his actions to be justified? A. Is there a chance? Q. Yes. A. I don't think so. Q. Why? A. Because I thought the CIRT report and his answers, he didn't justify his actions. Q. Is that the purpose of a CIRT report?	point probable cause to detain that suspect? A. So reasonable suspicion? Q. Yes. I'm sorry. A. Yes. Q. Okay. Now, at what point did Ken Lopera know Tashii Farmer had no weapons on him? A. I don't know because I don't think he ever got to the point where he patted him down. So I don't think he ever knew for sure until after he was handcuffed and someone else probably checked him for weapons, I believe. Q. Right. So inside the casino, we know from Ken Lopera's CIRT transcript that he believed that Tashii Farmer was under the influence of a controlled substance, correct? A. I think he said or mentally ill. Q. Actually, so he actually said that he was under the influence of a controlled substance on
• ** O. IS mat the durpose of a CIK I redort?	at under the influence of a controlled substance off

26 (Pages 98 to 101)

	26 (Pages 98 to 101)
Page 98	Page 100
BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. And so we have from his perception, we have Tashii Farmer, he believes he's under the influence of a controlled substance and he's now fled into a restricted area of the casino, correct? A. Yes. Q. He pursues and you don't have any problem with the pursuit, correct? A. No. Q. And if he would have caught up to him at that point and Tashii Farmer would have complied, would you have had any problem with Ken Lopera putting him in handcuffs? A. He would have to articulate why he's putting him in handcuffs, but it's certainly enough to stop him yes. I would prefer that he stopped him and tried to pat him down and talked to him. Now, if he runs like that, generally we put them in handcuffs right away. Q. Once they get outside, do you recall hearing on the video Ken Lopera giving verbal commands to asking Tashii Farmer to stop? A. Yes. Q. And is that a lawful command? A. Yes.	Q. If the victim didn't feel like he was going to be carjacked, why did he say he locked his car doors out of fear? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: I don't know. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. That's a quote. And that was a quote given to Metro. He told Metro in a recorded interview later on, once they tracked down the license plate and found him, he said that he locked his doors, quote, out of fear, I guess. Does that corroborate Ken Lopera's perception of what was going on, or does that undercut it? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. Misstates. Argumentative. Calls for hearsay. THE WITNESS: So to me all it means is he was scared of Tashii Farmer. It doesn't articulate that Tashii Farmer was going to steal his vehicle. So I understand what you're saying that the guy was scared of him, and I think Tashii Farmer running and acting the way he was probably was scary. But that doesn't to me, I'm looking for probable cause to say carjacking. I'm looking for
Q. And did Tashii Farmer comply with that? A. No. Q. And Ken Lopera articulated in his CIRT statement that he believed that Tashii Farmer was going to carjack a white Toyota pickup truck outside the Venetian. Do you recall that? A. Yes. Q. At that point, that's not a misdemeanor, correct? Carjacking an occupied vehicle is a felony, correct? A. Yes. Q. Is Ken Lopera justified in using a Taser at that point? A. Well, his so you're putting me in the position to justify his actions, which when I watch the video, I don't see an attempted carjacking. Now, he sees it differently than me. He was there, I wasn't there. So and I didn't feel like his answers in the CIRT interview articulated a carjacking either. Now, I don't know I now have hindsight to know that the victim didn't feel like he was going to be carjacked. So that it kind of undermines what, you know, what Lopera said.	him taking him, moving him out of the way, going into the car, taking his keys, using a weapon, threatening him. Those are the carjacking cases I'm familiar with. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So I'm sorry, go ahead. A. So I understand what he said. But I don't see it when I look at the video. I wasn't there. I just don't see it. Q. You would agree with me these events happened pretty quick, correct? A. Yes. Q. And is it your opinion that Ken Lopera should have waited until Tashii Farmer committed some further act against the occupant of the vehicle before he took action? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. Vague. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Meaning at what point should Ken Lopera have used that ounce of prevention versus the pound of cure? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: It's hard for me to go back and say what he should have done.

27 (Pages 102 to 105)

		27 (Pages 102 to 105)
	Page 102	Page 104
	raye 102	rage 104
1	BY MR. MCNUTT:	site is tactics decision-making. And so sometimes in
2	Q. But it's subjective, isn't it?	that disposition, there is some bleed-over.
3	A. Yes. I guess that's what I'm trying to	In other words, did the tactics employed,
4	say, subjective.	because they were so bad, cause the use of force?
5	Q. So two reasonable officers could come to a	Now, in this case, this wasn't that
6	different conclusion about how to act in that	6 wasn't an option so we didn't have to consider that.
7	circumstance?	But there was no one else we were looking
8	MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form.	at during the use of force board other than Lopera.
9	THE WITNESS: I guess two reasonable	Because he's the one that used force. The other
1.0	officers could disagree on what Tashii Farmer was	officers and all the other statements are using the
11	doing.	tactical review board side.
12	BY MR. MCNUTT:	So although it's one case, they are
13	Q. And based on that disagreement, of course,	13 separate.
1.4	taking different actions towards Tashii Farmer,	Q. Okay. Now, at the time of this event, May
15	correct?	of 2017, the LVNR was authorized to be used in a low
16		level of force situation, correct?
17	MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form.	17 A. Yes.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, I mean, like I said, we	18 Q. So the LVNR was a tool that Ken Lopera
19	rely on officers to justify their use of force. And	a ·
20	if it's not justified, then it's wrong.	could have aged in this circumstance, contect.
	BY MR. MCNUTT:	71. 100.
21	Q. In this case it wasn't justified because	Q. This shortly thereafter, there was a possey
22	Ken Lopera did not testify at the use of force board?	bhange to where it was only allowed to be assault
23	MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form.	intermediate levels or higher, correct?
24	THE WITNESS: That's only part of it. He	A. You're correct.
25	did give a CIRT statement, and he did say that he	Q. And Mr. Lagomarsino got into that a little
1	D 102	Down 105
	Page 103	Page 105
1		Page 105 bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that?
1 2	Page 103 thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was.	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that?
	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to
2	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT:	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to
2 3	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly,
2 3 4	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that
2 3 4 5	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use
2 3 4 5 6	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR.
2 3 4 5 6 7	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it.	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement?	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why?	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case.	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone else without considering Lopera's statement and what	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force. A. Low level is verbal resistance. Not following commands.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone else without considering Lopera's statement and what he did.	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force. A. Low level is verbal resistance. Not following commands. Q. So previously, prior to 2017, you could use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone else without considering Lopera's statement and what he did. Q. Okay. And the reason I ask it's not a	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force. A. Low level is verbal resistance. Not following commands. Q. So previously, prior to 2017, you could use LVNR for things like for misdemeanors, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone else without considering Lopera's statement and what he did. Q. Okay. And the reason I ask it's not a trick question. I think I didn't understand	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force. A. Low level is verbal resistance. Not following commands. Q. So previously, prior to 2017, you could use LVNR for things like for misdemeanors, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone else without considering Lopera's statement and what he did. Q. Okay. And the reason I ask it's not a trick question. I think I didn't understand something.	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force. A. Low level is verbal resistance. Not following commands. Q. So previously, prior to 2017, you could use LVNR for things like for misdemeanors, correct? A. Yes. Q. Such as selling water?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone else without considering Lopera's statement and what he did. Q. Okay. And the reason I ask it's not a trick question. I think I didn't understand something. I thought the CIRT statement stayed purely	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force. A. Low level is verbal resistance. Not following commands. Q. So previously, prior to 2017, you could use LVNR for things like for misdemeanors, correct? A. Yes. Q. Such as selling water? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone else without considering Lopera's statement and what he did. Q. Okay. And the reason I ask it's not a trick question. I think I didn't understand something. I thought the CIRT statement stayed purely on the policy side, and it seems the use of force	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force. A. Low level is verbal resistance. Not following commands. Q. So previously, prior to 2017, you could use LVNR for things like for misdemeanors, correct? A. Yes. Q. Such as selling water? A. Yes. Q. And just recently in the example that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone else without considering Lopera's statement and what he did. Q. Okay. And the reason I ask it's not a trick question. I think I didn't understand something. I thought the CIRT statement stayed purely on the policy side, and it seems the use of force board is I guess maybe it's more on the policy	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force. A. Low level is verbal resistance. Not following commands. Q. So previously, prior to 2017, you could use LVNR for things like for misdemeanors, correct? A. Yes. Q. Such as selling water? A. Yes. Q. And just recently in the example that you testified about a little bit earlier where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone else without considering Lopera's statement and what he did. Q. Okay. And the reason I ask it's not a trick question. I think I didn't understand something. I thought the CIRT statement stayed purely on the policy side, and it seems the use of force board is I guess maybe it's more on the policy side, but it is also on the policy side?	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force. A. Low level is verbal resistance. Not following commands. Q. So previously, prior to 2017, you could use LVNR for things like for misdemeanors, correct? A. Yes. Q. Such as selling water? A. Yes. Q. And just recently in the example that you testified about a little bit earlier where the officer was showing was employing we'll mark
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	thought the subject was trying to carjack whatever his name was. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did the use A. When we looked at it, we just didn't see that part, and I didn't see it when I watched the video or read it. Q. Okay. Did the use of force board consider the CIRT statement? A. Yes. Q. Why? A. Well, we consider the whole case. Q. Okay. So the CIRT statement A. You couldn't do the case against everyone else without considering Lopera's statement and what he did. Q. Okay. And the reason I ask it's not a trick question. I think I didn't understand something. I thought the CIRT statement stayed purely on the policy side, and it seems the use of force board is I guess maybe it's more on the policy	bit earlier. In a nutshell, why was that? A. In a nutshell, we didn't want officers to go to LVNR and low level usage. And then secondly, we wanted to simplify the policy to ensure that everyone understood when they could and couldn't use the LVNR. Q. And when you say simplify the policy, exactly what has been simplified? A. Well, when you have a technique that can be used over low level, intermediate and deadly force, then it was too broad for that technique. Q. So give me an example of low level versus intermediate force. A. Low level is verbal resistance. Not following commands. Q. So previously, prior to 2017, you could use LVNR for things like — for misdemeanors, correct? A. Yes. Q. Such as selling water? A. Yes. Q. And just recently in the example that you testified about a little bit earlier where the

28 (Pages 106 to 109)

	28 (Pages 106 to 109)
Page 106	Page 108
identification.) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Take a minute and look at that article. Have you seen that screenshot before? A. Have I seen it before? Q. Today. A. Monday? Yes. Q. And so this is an article we printed this off yesterday from the Las Vegas Review-Journal. And what's interesting to me is that Metro, in their statement, the LVMPD PIO do you see at the bottom of the first page? What is PIO? A. Public information officer. Q. "The LVMPD PIO has received a number of requests reference a video circulating on social media of a water seller being taken into custody, said a statement released Monday by Metro." And then quote, "The video is from an incident in July 2013 which was fully investigated by LVMPD Internal Affairs. The investigation showed no policy violations occurred. In addition, a lawsuit filed by the individual in the video was dismissed by the courts." And that comports with your testimony	or may not be excessive but certainly all of the techniques used to me were combined to make it excessive use of force. Q. So if Tashii Farmer had, as Ken Lopera hoped for, proned out that's what he said in his CIRT statement that after he achieved neuromuscular incapacitation with the first Taser strike, he expected Tashii Farmer to prone out and he was going to wait for his captain to show up is what he said. Do you recall that? A. I don't remember the part about the captain. But I do remember saying he was hoping the Taser would work and he would be proned out and that would be the end of it. Q. Had that occurred, had Tashii Farmer complied with his commands to not move after he had been tased, what would have happened at that point, do you have any idea? A. No. I mean, Officer Lopera would have completed an arrest report, use of force documentation. That would have been reviewed by a supervisor. And I assume if that's all that happened, that that would be fine.
earlier, correct? Page 107 A. Good. Q. And then Metro says, in the statement, quote, "Please note per Clark County code 6.04.130 it is illegal to sell any merchandise, goods, items, wares or services on any portion of public right-of-way." A. Right. Q. Did you read that or do you just take my word for it that I read it accurately? A. I read it quickly. Q. So per Metro policy, it's okay to employ the LVNR, lateral vascular neck restraint, on somebody allegedly committing the misdemeanor crime of selling water in the desert, and but yet Metro on the other hand has held that someone that is believed to be under the influence of a controlled substance and, in fact, was under the influence of a controlled substance, fled irrationally into an employee area only, and was believed to be carjacking someone, that that use of force with the LVNR was excessive? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Is that the position?	Page 109 1 penalized in any way by Metro? 2 A. Right. 3 Q. But Tashii Farmer didn't comply and didn't stay prone on the ground, correct? 5 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. 6 THE WITNESS: Correct. 7 BY MR. MCNUTT: 8 Q. And so additional uses of force were warranted, correct? 9 warranted, correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Because when a suspect doesn't comply with lawful commands and some force has already been used, additional force is warranted, correct? 14 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. 15 THE WITNESS: Yes. And different techniques. 16 techniques. 17 BY MR. MCNUTT: 18 Q. And different techniques. 19 Officer Lif said after one or two Taser strikes, she would have went hands on with Tashii Farmer. Is that a reasonable alternative? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. She also said she would have used her baton

29 (Pages 110 to 113)

	29 (Pages 110 to 113)
Page 110	Page 112
Q. Does that video well? A. No. None of those really video well. Q. So if Officer Lif had been there, the video would have been of a six-foot woman swinging a steel baton at Tashii Farmer, correct? A. Yes. Q. And Tashii Farmer is about six foot so they're about even height. A. Okay. Q. So that doesn't look good either, right? A. Most use of force don't look good on video. Q. That's true. Because in this case, when you're dealing with a criminal committing criminal acts, it's difficult to control him, isn't it? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. Move	A. I'm a little confused. Are you saying if they don't comply with your first verbal order? Q. Yes. For example, if you tell somebody to stay on the ground and they immediately sit up, you can then either give them another verbal command or use some other control technique, correct? Meaning you don't have to continue to give them leeway to, well, maybe he was going to sit up and then lay back down, correct? A. Yeah. Normally we would give multiple verbal commands, and then I would prefer that people would then say, okay, I got an uncooperative subject, whatever. You want to do something to get more people there at that point before it gets into a fight.
to strike. THE WITNESS: That's part of it. But the other part is you don't see what happened before. You don't usually hear the audio. So there's more things that go into it than that. So just a clip like this, for example, doesn't look very good. Although this is a properly applied LVNR to someone who was not cooperating and committed a crime. But that's why we had to put a statement	Q. Understood. And isn't it true that Officer Lopera thought his partner was going to be right behind him, correct? A. He thought that. Q. Unfortunately, he was wrong. A. Right. Q. And no one knows why, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Well, I guess part of the reason why is he didn't tell Officer Lif what he was
out. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Right. And because policing is a difficult job, correct? A. Yes. (Exhibit B was marked for identification.) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Take a minute and review Exhibit B. Let me know if you've seen this document before. A. I have. But it's been a while. Q. And you recognize this as the arrest report of Ken Lopera, correct? A. Yes. Q. When an officer issues a verbal command,	doing. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Officer Lif was at his elbow during the initial contact, correct? A. She did not know why he took off or what was going on. So to me, I would have preferred that he make sure that she knew where he was going and what he was doing. But part of the issue was no communication between those two. He assumed that she was coming. Q. What should she have done? A. What should she have done? Q. Yes. Let's take it as you say. Ken Lopera, there was miscommunication, no communication, whatever.
how long does he have to wait to determine whether the suspect is going to comply with that command? A. There is no set time. Q. Situational dependent, correct? A. Yes. Q. If you give someone a lawful command and they immediately don't comply with that, then you can immediately do something else, correct? Meaning you don't have to wait five or six or seven seconds, correct?	16 If your partner pursues a suspect down an employee-only area of a casino, what should you do as the partner? A. Go with him. Q. And that didn't happen. A. No. Q. And do you know why? A. No, I don't know why. Q. If you tell someone to stay on the ground, lie flat on the ground, and you give them five or six

30 (Pages 114 to 117)

	30 (rages 114 to 117)
Page 114	Page 116
seconds to comply, is that long enough to determine whether or not they're going to comply? A. I think that's actually longer than I would wait before I would start giving more or different verbal commands. You're just giving commands until it's clear that in other words, until that person stood up, if they're just going from laying to sitting up, I'm not as concerned if they go from laying to sitting up because someone sitting up is not a danger to me. Q. Of course it depends how quickly they're doing it because you don't know how quickly A. You're right. Q the movement between A. Yes. It can happen quickly, but I'm just trying to go with your scenario. And, you know, there's no set time or, you know, number of seconds. Every situation is different. Q. Let's look at page 7 of 8 or P002374, whichever one you prefer to look at. And I'm going to start with the second paragraph from the bottom that starts: "After cycling the ECD seven times." Do you see that, sir? A. Yes.	between cycles of the ECD was six seconds. Officer Lopera told Farmer to get on his stomach but never gave Farmer a reasonable opportunity to comply with commands." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, do you agree with that statement that six seconds is not enough time for Tashii Farmer to comply? A. Well, like I said before, every situation is different. And he was not complying. However, he was not actively resisting or aggressively resisting, I guess is my point. And people who are either drunk or under the influence of narcotics do not immediately comply, rarely do they comply at all. Q. Okay. But that's a little I appreciate that answer. But that's a little different than my question. A. Okay. Sorry. Q. You've testified a couple of times now that five or six seconds, in one answer, you said would be longer than you would give somebody to comply. And I'm simply asking you whether you agree with this statement that Lopera giving Farmer six seconds was
Page 115 Q. So it says: "Officer Lopera holstered his ECD with Farmer lying on his stomach. Officer Lopera straddled Farmer's back and struck him approximately 10 to 12 times in the head while giving Farmer verbal commands." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. So it says that Lopera struck Farmer 10 to 12 times in the head, correct? A. Yes. Q. And you testified today that it's unclear whether any how many of the punches connected with Tashii Farmer, correct? A. Yes. Q. So do you think that should be worded a little more carefully to make clear to the reader that's unclear how many punches struck Tashii Farmer? A. Yes. Q. So if you go to the fourth paragraph up, that starts: "Officer Lopera began issuing verbal commands." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. "Officer Lopera began issuing verbal commands to Farmer. However, the longest time	not a, quote, "Reasonable opportunity to comply with commands." Do you agree with that statement? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: So there's no way to answer that without watching the video. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. We're going to. A. Because giving someone I never timed I just watched the video. I didn't count the number of seconds in between. And when you watch the video, and he you have to give clear direction of what you want. And it appeared like and I'm going off some memory here, but there was some conflicting directions given while you're tasing someone, and which led to the confusion of him not complying. So it's really hard to justify now. Q. Do you know who wrote this arrest report? A. Yeah. I'm sure it was either Alsup I think it was Alsup. Q. It was Detective Alsup. He testified that he wrote every word of it. A. Yeah. Q. And even though Mr. Colon, or I think it's

31 (Pages 118 to 121)

	or (rages ito to izi)
Page 118	Page 120
Mark Colon is on here, he testified that Alsup A. He's a lead detective. Q. Right. And you said he's a good detective and does good work, correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, do you think that this at a minimum should have been reworded to be a little more accurate that six seconds could, in fact, be enough time to gain compliance or shouldn't be enough time to gain compliance? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So it could have been written a little better, correct? A. Yes. Q. Because in your opinion, six seconds, situation dependent, is more than enough time for compliance, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Misstates. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Yes or no? A. Yes. Q. So let's go to the fifth paragraph up. And this is where we're getting into the carjacking. And	A. Yes. Q. Should that other quote from Jonathan Pierce have been included in this arrest report? A. Yes. Q. Why was it not? A. I don't know. Q. So knowing now, if you were the supervisor of Detective Alsup and we're sitting here going through this, would you kick this arrest report back to him and make him correct those three errors we've gone over? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection to the extent he caught the errors. THE WITNESS: If I knew about the errors, then they would be sent back for correction. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Of course. A. Normally that would be the sergeant's job or the lieutenant's job before it got to anywhere else. So yes, that's a review that supervisors should be doing of these reports. Q. And either that didn't happen or it was missed, correct? A. Yes.
the middle of the paragraph there's a statement that says or a sentence that says: "The driver of the white truck stated he did not believe Farmer was a threat." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Now, the driver in the same interview, the driver of the white truck, Jonathan Pierce, told Metro officers, members of the FIT team, that he locked his doors out of fear of Tashii Farmer. MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Misstates. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. We talked about that earlier, correct? A. Yes. Q. I mean, is that not probative information regarding what was going on at that moment? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And so the reader of this report could get two different opinions about what was going on if they only read that the driver of the white truck stated he did not believe Farmer was a threat, period. Versus the driver of the white truck stated he did not believe Farmer was a threat, comma, but he locked his doors out of fear. Two different interpretations, correct?	Q. It starts with Detective Alsup inasmuch as he missed getting this completely accurate, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Because he testified that he had access to all of his team's information, which included Jonathan Pierce's statement about locking his doors out of fear. Now, Mr. Lagomarsino asked you earlier a few questions about body-worn camera policy. And if I recall your testimony correctly, you said that the officers involved in the use of force needed to have their per policy had to have their cameras running. They couldn't turn them off until the use of force was over; is that accurate? A. Yes. Q. And so in this case, would an acceptable time to turn the cameras off been when Tashii Farmer was in handcuffs, or is it before that or is it after that? A. Usually when the subject is placed in handcuffs is what we would consider the end of that use of force incident. Q. Okay. And obviously we have plenty of

32 (Pages 122 to 125)

	32 (Pages 122 to 125)
Page 122	Page 124
body-worn cam footage video that goes well after Tashii Farmer was placed in handcuffs, correct? A. Yes. Q. Is there a policy or protocol for Metro officers that somebody says you know, broadcasts a radio command or something, all clear, suspect has been detained, everybody can turn off their body-worn cams? Meaning is it formalized like that or are officers allowed to do it on their own? A. It's not formalized whereas something would come over the radio to turn off your body cameras. However, when an officer is involved in a critical incident, use of force that's deadly, a supervisor should isolate them and have them turn off their body camera. And that's one of the things that Sergeant Crumrine did not do for Officer Lopera. Q. So at this point, you've said that when Tashii Farmer was in handcuffs, it would have been now, I'm asking a question. It's acceptable or would have been acceptable for everyone's body-worn cam to have been turned off at that point or just Officer Lopera's? A. I would say Officer Lopera, because we do want to capture if this was a normal use of force and he was handcuffed and there was no injuries, it	Q. Now, do you put much stock in the fact that after this foot pursuit and this fight, and with his adrenaline running and everything else, that Ken Lopera did not say when asked immediately following this that he, quote, used the lateral vascular neck restraint, which I'll admit does not exactly flow off the tongue. Did you put much stock in what he said versus what he did on the tape? A. So the only issue I had with what he said was that choke is not what we train. Now, from a training point of view, I need for officers to use training the way we train them. So that's my concern with that. Not the difference between an LVNR and a rear-naked choke. You don't train people on the rear-naked choke. Q. Correct. Although both are are you aware that both are a blood choke and not an air choke? Meaning both the rear-naked choke let me put it this way. The LVNR and the rear-naked choke are both neck restraints that seek to A. Yes. Q control the blood flow not the airflow? A. Yes. Q. Do you know why Metro made the
would be fine. But since there was a medical issue and then some other things happened with trying to render aid, we wouldn't want to capture that on body camera. So it's not, okay, handcuffs are on, all body cameras are off. What we are concerned about is the officer who has been in a foot pursuit and a fight and his adrenaline is pumping that his camera is off so he doesn't talk too much. Q. And in this case, maybe there was too much talk? A. There was. And that's one of the reasons why we isolate people and put them in a car by themselves until they get to talk to a representative. Q. So in this case, Ken Lopera is heard on tape saying, as he said in his CIRT statement, using shorthand layman's terms, I forget exactly how he said it but I can pull it up here, that he rear-naked Tashii Farmer. And much was made that Ken Lopera did not use the proper approved lateral vascular neck restraint. Are you aware of that disjunct in this case? A. Yes.	determination in this arrest report that Ken Lopera did not use an LVNR and they thought that he did use a rear-naked choke? Do you know why? A. I don't know why. Q. Okay. Do you have an opinion as to whether it was an LVNR versus something else? A. Do I have an opinion of why that's in the report? Q. No. As to whether or not strike that. Let me ask a better question. Do you have an opinion as to whether Ken Lopera used a neck restraint other than the lateral vascular neck restraint, or if he merely did not properly apply the lateral vascular neck restraint? A. So I can't tell. Your question is valid, and that's what we looked at was what happened? And did he not apply the LVNR correctly. Did he use a different technique? Did he not do anything that we taught him? And it looks like he tried to apply the LVNR. The subject was moving around. He moved to a different chokehold. But you can't tell that off the video. There's not enough video to show the arm angle and the heads and all that. Q. Not as nice as

33 (Pages 126 to 129)

Page 126 Page 128 A. No. test, but do you know the different levels of Q. - the exhibit we looked at earlier where resistance that Metro teaches that suspects undergo it's a direct front-on, correct? or utilize? We have compliant. A. Right. A. Compliant. Q. So Ken Lopera in his CIRT statement said: Q. Passive resistance. "While I had the LVNR applied, I was attempting to, A. Passive and active resistant, aggressive -due to the fact that he was on his side, his Q. Resistance, and aggravated aggressive movement, I had full encirclement but I wasn't able resistance. 9 to get the full grip. I was still trying to embrace A. Yeah. 10 10 Q. Do you have an opinion as to -- and if you that neck brace principle." 11 11 Do you know what the neck brace principle don't, that's fine -- what Tashii Farmer was 12 12 demonstrating in this incident? 13 13 A. That's you're head to head. A. I thought it was active resistance. It 14 14 Q. It's where you're controlling the suspect's looked to me like he didn't want to be handcuffed. 15 15 neck to protect their airway? Q. And I'll read active resistance, and this 16 16 is from LVMPD 0007: "The subject's verbal or 17 Q. I mean, that's a phrase out of Metro's 17 physical actions are intended to prevent an officer 18 training: "While applying pressure to his carotid 18 from placing the suspect in custody and taking 19 19 control, but are not directed at harming the officer. artery.' 20 20 Sounds like an LVNR, correct? Examples, walking or running away, breaking the 21 21 officer's grip." A. Yes. Q. "Due to the way my body was positioned to 22 Does that comport with your memory? 23 23 my right side," and then another sentence, "I did not have that luxury due to him already being on my 24 Q. And then aggressive resistance so we know what the distinction is: "The subject displays the back." Page 129 Page 127 So he articulates that he couldn't apply intent to harm the officer, themselves or another the LVNR properly. And yet Detective Alsup found person" -- let me know if I'm going too fast -- "and that he absolutely did not use an LVNR. prevent an officer from placing the subject in custody and taking control. The aggression may Do you think that's accurate? 5 A. Well, Detective Alsup didn't have his manifest itself through a subject taking a fighting 6 stance, punching, kicking, striking, attacks with Q. But do you think -- that's a fair point. weapons or other actions which present an imminent 8 And because we've used -- talked about the statement threat of physical harm to the officer or another." 9 on both sides, and I'm glad to hear you say that Comport with your --1.0 actually. It affirms somewhat my belief in the 11 1.1 system. Q. And then obviously aggravated aggressive is 12 1.2 So do you think anybody watching the video actions significant resulting in death or serious could definitively tell that Ken Lopera was not 13 bodily harm. applying the LVNR accurately or was intentionally 14 If I reach for your sidearm or if you're 15 15 using a non-approved technique? carrying one on the other side, your Taser, is 16 A. You couldn't tell and our experts couldn't 16 that -- what level of resistance is that? 17 17 A. That's aggravated -- not aggravated. tell either. 18 1.8 Q. So do you think it's fair for Q. Is it aggressive resistance? 19 19 Detective Alsup to come to that definitive A. Aggressive resistance, I'm sorry. 20 conclusion? Q. So your choices are active or aggressive 21 21 A. I think it's a little too definitive. But resistance? 22 22 it's not wrong 100 percent. I don't know how to --A. Yes. 23 23 Q. Yes? I said your choices are active he could have worded it better. 24 24 Q. You talked about levels of resistance just resistance or aggressive resistance. 25 in passing in one of your answers. This is not a A. It depends how you articulated that I

34 (Pages 130 to 133)

	34 (Pages 130 to 133)
Page 130	Page 132
reached for your Taser or your gun. Q. I tried to grab your Taser off your belt. A. So you get your hands on my Taser? Q. Sure. A. That's that's aggravated aggressive, whatever it is. Q. I have a list. Let's do this. A. I don't have that. So it's more than active aggressive. (Exhibit C was marked for identification.) THE WITNESS: It's more than active resistance. It's aggressive resistance. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. And that's fine. I should have given you that earlier. I wasn't try to trick you. Just trying to speed the process. So if a suspect grabs at an officer's weapon or any of the weapons on his belt, that would be aggressive resistance, correct? A. Yes. Q. If an officer punched, struck or kicked the suspect punched, struck or kicked the officer,	about their business." A. Yes. Q. Was Ken Lopera's interaction with Tashii Farmer a consensual encounter to begin with? A. Yes. Q. Did it remain a consensual encounter once Tashii Farmer fled into the employee-area only? A. Based on the other articulation that Officer Lopera said that it changed from a consensual to probably a reasonable suspicion to stop. MR. MCNUTT: We'll take that break now. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 2:06 p.m. We are going off the record. (A recess was taken from 2:06 p.m. to 2:14 p.m.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 2:14 p.m. We're back on the record. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Chief, we're back on the record. You testified earlier that you have previously watched Ken Lopera's body-worn camera, correct? A. Yes. Q. How long ago was that? A. Before the use of force board
	A. Before the use of force board
²⁵ A. Yes.	25 Q. So it's been over a year?
Page 131	Page 133
1 Q correct? 2 And if the suspect is demonstrating 3 aggressive resistance, what level of force can the 4 officer use? Low? Intermediate? 5 A. Intermediate. 6 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Any time you want to take 7 a break. 8 BY MR. MCNUTT: 9 Q. Are you good? 10 A. I'm good. 11 MR. MCNUTT: Do you need one? 12 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Yeah. I just don't want 13 to keep going. I'm requesting a break at some point. 14 MR. MCNUTT: No problem, Andre. 15 BY MR. MCNUTT: 16 Q. When does a do you know the definition 17 of a consensual encounter?	1 A and during the use of force board. 2 Q. So you didn't rereview it 3 A. No. 4 Q prior to this deposition? 5 One other question I forgot to ask you, are 6 you familiar with Safe Strip? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Is it Operation Safe Strip or what do they 9 technically call it? 10 A. Safe Strip is just what they call it. 11 Q. What is the mission statement of Safe 12 Strip? 13 A. It's to well, it's changed over the 14 years, but the basic premise is to put more officers 15 on the Strip to be closer to anything that happened, 16 they respond to any calls that happen immediately. 17 Q. Because of the mass congregation of people
A. Well, it depends. Who am I giving it to? I had a lawyer tell me that any time a police officer talks to you it's a consensual encounter.	on the Strip? A. Yes. And the value of the Strip to Las Vegas.

35 (Pages 134 to 137)

	Page 134	Page 136
1	Do you recognize this still shot as we look	1 A. Right.
2	at it?	Q. And then you'll see in a little bit when
. 3	A. Yes.	we're at two seconds, you'll see some chains-link
4	 Q. And if you want me to adjust the screen up 	between those accounts.
5	or down just for light, let me know.	5 (Playing Video)
6	A. That's fine.	6 BY MR. MCNUTT:
7	Q. Do you recognize Tashii Farmer in the left	Q. Do you see this doorway area where the two
8	corner or left side of the screen?	yellow cones with the chain-link are between them?
9	A. Yes. I guess.	⁹ A. Yes.
10	Q. And Officer Ken Lopera's hand there holding	Q. Do you believe that to be an area that can
11	a Slurpee or something?	be accessed or allowed to be accessed by patrons or
12	A. Coffee drink.	12 civilians?
1.3	Q. Coffee drink. Okay.	MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. Foundation.
14	Once I start playing, there will be some	14 THE WITNESS: It looks like it's trying to
15	we'll see a timeline running along the bottom of the	prevent people from going down that hallway.
16	screen, and I will try to annotate some of those	16 BY MR. MCNUTT:
1.7	timelines.	Q. Now, at this point would you call this a
18	A. Okay.	consensual encounter? Or would you even call it an
19	, and the second se	encounter?
20	Q. So I'm just going to start playing it and you, of course, know at that time body-worn cams	20 A. I would have to hear the audio because if
21		he's talking to him, that's a consensual encounter.
22	don't have any audio for the first 30 seconds,	But it looks there's no audio like he's watching
23	correct?	
	A. Right.	111111 -
24	Q. So essentially what's happened is Ken	Q. Right. Of course, the circl statement into
25	Lopera has when he activates his body-worn cam, it	that gap in a little bit and says that he's talking
		200
	Page 135	Page 137
1		
1 2	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that	to him, and we can read that in a minute.
	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right?	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual
2	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes.	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop.
2	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video)
2 3 4	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT:
2 3 4 5	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything?	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point,
2 3 4 5 6	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the
2 3 4 5 6 7	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked.	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question?	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved with body cameras so I don't know for sure.	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he was almost within arm's reach of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved with body cameras so I don't know for sure. Q. So I'm going to hit play, and you'll see	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he was almost within arm's reach of Ken Lopera at this point, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved with body cameras so I don't know for sure. Q. So I'm going to hit play, and you'll see the 0000 here on the left.	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he was almost within arm's reach of Ken Lopera at this point, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved with body cameras so I don't know for sure. Q. So I'm going to hit play, and you'll see the 0000 here on the left. A. Okay.	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he was almost within arm's reach of Ken Lopera at this point, correct? A. Yes. Q. And at least from Ken Lopera's body-worn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved with body cameras so I don't know for sure. Q. So I'm going to hit play, and you'll see the 0000 here on the left. A. Okay. (Playing Video)	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he was almost within arm's reach of Ken Lopera at this point, correct? A. Yes. Q. And at least from Ken Lopera's body-worn cam, Ken Lopera got a direct look into Tashii
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved with body cameras so I don't know for sure. Q. So I'm going to hit play, and you'll see the 0000 here on the left. A. Okay. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT:	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he was almost within arm's reach of Ken Lopera at this point, correct? A. Yes. Q. And at least from Ken Lopera's body-worn cam, Ken Lopera got a direct look into Tashii Farmer's face and pupils, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved with body cameras so I don't know for sure. Q. So I'm going to hit play, and you'll see the 0000 here on the left. A. Okay. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now, we see Tashii Farmer approach Ken	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he was almost within arm's reach of Ken Lopera at this point, correct? A. Yes. Q. And at least from Ken Lopera's body-worn cam, Ken Lopera got a direct look into Tashii Farmer's face and pupils, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved with body cameras so I don't know for sure. Q. So I'm going to hit play, and you'll see the 0000 here on the left. A. Okay. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now, we see Tashii Farmer approach Ken Lopera. And behind him, do you see the doorway area?	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he was almost within arm's reach of Ken Lopera at this point, correct? A. Yes. Q. And at least from Ken Lopera's body-worn cam, Ken Lopera got a direct look into Tashii Farmer's face and pupils, correct? A. Yes. MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved with body cameras so I don't know for sure. Q. So I'm going to hit play, and you'll see the 0000 here on the left. A. Okay. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now, we see Tashii Farmer approach Ken Lopera. And behind him, do you see the doorway area? A. Yes.	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he was almost within arm's reach of Ken Lopera at this point, correct? A. Yes. Q. And at least from Ken Lopera's body-worn cam, Ken Lopera got a direct look into Tashii Farmer's face and pupils, correct? A. Yes. MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. (Playing Video)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	records the prior 30 seconds without audio; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Is there a reason Metro went with that version? Just out of curiosity, why don't they record everything? A. I don't know. I thought that was how the body camera worked. Q. Well, I think it is how the body camera worked. I just wondered why does it work that way is my question? A. I don't know. I think that's the way it came from Taser, but it could be some reason they requested it. But that was all before I was involved with body cameras so I don't know for sure. Q. So I'm going to hit play, and you'll see the 0000 here on the left. A. Okay. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now, we see Tashii Farmer approach Ken Lopera. And behind him, do you see the doorway area?	to him, and we can read that in a minute. A. If it's talking to him, that's a consensual stop. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now we're at 16 seconds. At this point, Tashii Farmer has crashed through the little the yellow barricade, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. And is now starting to run down the employee-only hallway, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he was almost within arm's reach of Ken Lopera at this point, correct? A. Yes. Q. And at least from Ken Lopera's body-worn cam, Ken Lopera got a direct look into Tashii Farmer's face and pupils, correct? A. Yes. MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form.

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

	36 (Pages 138 to 141)
Page 138	Page 140
MR. MCNUTT: That's not what I said, no. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. At this point you have no problem with Officer Lopera or any officer pursuing Tashii Farmer down this hallway, correct? A. Correct. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So we're at 30 seconds. We now have audio. Any idea where Officer Lif is or how she at this point isn't right next to Ken Lopera? A. Well, I can only go by her statement which said that she didn't see him run down the hallway. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Do you know if when Officer Lopera yelled Lif's name there, whether he was saying it into his radio or not? A. I don't know for sure. But it doesn't it wouldn't be how we would talk to other officers on the radio. Q. How would he have done that on the radio? A. Either call her by her call sign that's normally the way we would do it. Not by first name	BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So he said, "Stop. Don't move. You're going to get tased." That's per policy to give that warning, correct? A. You should if possible give that warning. Q. But you don't have to, correct? A. No. Q. So Tashii Farmer at 1:38 appears that he's on his back and it does appear that the ECD device worked and achieved neuromuscular incapacitation, correct? A. He went directly to the ground, so yes. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So at 1:40 Ken Lopera says, "Don't move," to Tashii Farmer, correct? A. Yes. Q. That's a lawful command, right? A. Yes. Q. Tashii Farmer is on his back when he says that, correct? A. Yes. (Playing Video)
or last name. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So we're at 1:33. Ken Lopera has given a command, "Stop, don't move." Correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that a lawful command that he's giving at that point? A. Yes. Q. Now, my next question is tell me whether Tashii Farmer complies. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did Tashii Farmer comply? A. No. Q. Now, Ken Lopera said in his CIRT statement at this point that he believed Tashii Farmer was going to carjack the white Toyota pickup truck. Was use of the if that was correct and that's his perception, was use of the Taser authorized at this point? A. Well, it's going to be more than his perception. But I'll go ahead and say yes. (Playing Video)	Page 141 BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. What's Tashii Farmer done between 1:40 and 1:44? A. It looks like he's moving, trying to sit up. Q. And reaching towards his shoe, correct? A. Yes. Q. Is it possible that he has a weapon in his shoe? A. Possible. Q. And Ken Lopera hasn't checked him for weapons at this point, correct? A. Correct. Q. Has Tashii Farmer complied with Ken Lopera's directive to not move? A. No. Q. Is four seconds enough for Ken Lopera to have assessed what Tashii Farmer whether he was complying or not? A. He's definitely not complying, so yes. Q. So the statement earlier by Alsup that he only gave him six seconds, that's not accurate in your view, because here four seconds was enough to know that Tashii Farmer wasn't complying, correct? A. Yes.

37 (Pages 142 to 145)

	3/ (Pages 142 to 145)
Page 142	Page 144
Q. So, in fact, Ken Lopera did give Tashii Farmer enough time to comply with his lawful command, correct? A. Well, you have to watch the whole video. Q. We're going to. But just this part. A. I'm saying when you stop it like that and do each time it's different than like, even one of the commands was to the guy in the truck. Q. So should Detective Alsup not have done that in the arrest report? A. Yes, I already Q. Because that's what he did in the arrest report. A. I already agreed that he should have worded it better in the arrest report. Q. I didn't even ask you those questions. That's exactly what Alsup did. Alsup broke this down just like I'm breaking it down. So should he not have done that? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Everybody that looks at video looks at the whole thing, and then they break it down by seconds. BY MR. MCNUTT:	enough. Q. True. And so after, you know, running for 60 seconds, chasing the suspect, Ken Lopera did not say everything perfectly like we would have liked him to, correct? A. Right. Q. Is that a violation of policy? A. Not a violation. And not unusual. Q. It's just a mistake? A. Yes. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So we're now at 1:52 after the second ECD strike. Ken Lopera said don't move, correct? A. Yes. Q. And Tashii Farmer then drew his knees up to his waist, correct? A. Yes. Q. Is that not moving? A. That's moving. Q. Is that complying with his lawful command? A. Yes. I mean, no, it's not complying. Sorry.
From this 1:40 to 1:44, Ken Lopera gave a lawful command, and Tashii Farmer did not comply with it, correct? A. Yes. Q. And in your opinion, was that four seconds reasonable for Ken Lopera to have given? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So because there was no compliance, Ken Lopera gave him another cycle of the ECD, correct? A. Yes. Q. And Tashii Farmer goes to his back, correct? A. Yes. Q. Was that use of the Taser authorized per Metro policy? A. Yes. But he also said, "Get on your stomach," at the same time he tased him. Which is not possible to do. Q. Okay. Sure. And sometimes A. Earlier that's what I said. Some of the commands were conflicting. And so you can't say, okay, he told him not to move five times and that's	BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So he then so we're now at 1:56. Ken Lopera says, "Get on your stomach," correct? And cycled the ECD? A. Yes. Q. Now, do you have a problem with that? A. I would have preferred the time between the commands and the cycling to be longer apart. Q. In this instance? A. Yes, in this instance. Q. Okay. A. So that's what you're asking me, I thought. Q. Yes. A. Yes. I would prefer that there's some time between the commands and the Taser cycling. Q. So it is appropriate to break this down, because in the first three commands, we saw that Ken Lopera did give a reasonable time for compliance and Tashii Farmer did not comply, but you're saying in this one instance that he did not? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Misstates. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Is that fair? A. Yes. (Playing video)

38 (Pages 146 to 149)

	30 (lages 140 co 143)
Page 146	Page 148
BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So he cycles the ECD at 1:56-ish, and Tashii Farmer is taking his left hand and putting it in his lower back. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any idea about what he's doing there? A. Based off the video, it looks like he's just got his hand in his back, but now we know that he was trying to pull the probes out. Q. And Officer Lif said she thought maybe when she reviewed the video, she said she thought maybe he was reaching for a weapon. MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: It could be. That's her perception. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So different officer, different reasonable officers can have different perceptions of the same events occurring? A. Yes.	Q. It's just you would prefer that — A. Best practice would be to have another officer there. Because he's not complying with your commands. And if you go hands-on, you're probably going to be in an altercation. Q. But he's already in an altercation, right? A. A physical altercation. Q. So he's now cycled the ECD three times. And there's no other officers there. And you don't want him to go hands-on even though per policy he's allowed to. What would wouldn't in your scenario he have had to cycle the ECD another time? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Unless Tashii Farmer immediately complied? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: We don't teach people to go hands-on with one hand and do the Taser with your other hand. So would he have to? No. I would think he should holster his Taser and go hands-on with both hands.
Q. So this is, Ken Lopera at 1:58 says, "Get on your stomach." And Tashii Farmer says, "I will." Tell me if he does do that.	BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So that's a little different than what you said. You're saying he should have holstered up the
Page 147	Page 149
1 (Playing Video) 2 BY MR. MCNUTT: 3 Q. At 1 excuse me, at 2:02 where is 4 Tashii Farmer? 5 A. He rolled across his stomach onto his back again. 7 Q. Is that complying? 8 A. No. 9 Q. So Ken Lopera at 2:05 is now in physical contact with Tashii Farmer, correct? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And do you see his left hand grabbing 13 Tashii Farmer's left wrist area? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you have any problem with Ken Lopera 16 being in physical contact with Tashii Farmer? 17 A. So I would prefer based on the way we train 18 people, is before you go hands-on, you have another 19 officer there.	ECD already and then it's okay to go hands-on, in your opinion? A. Well, he's gone hands-on, but I think he still has his Taser in his other hand. Because you stopped the video I can't see it, but that's what I think he does. Q. I think you're right. A. You probably know the video better than me. So I'm trying to is this that's not how we prefer people to do it. It's not a violation of policy. Q. Understood. So my question, let's back up. If he had not gone hands-on, he's already cycled the Taser three times, so and there's no other officers there to help him at this point. A. Right. Q. In fact, we're still probably 30 seconds away from any officers showing up. Which is a long time in a fight, correct? A. Yes.
Q. Okay. But is Ken	al (V) A V OC

39 (Pages 150 to 153)

	39 (Pages 150 to 153)
Page 150	Page 152
A. No. There's other options. Q. Such as? A. Cap stun, baton. None of these are going to look good, but there's other options. Q. But, now, cap stun is not an option now, is it? Because you cannot per policy use cap stun and ECD on the same suspect, can you? A. Well, you shouldn't. Q. Well, are we going to say that you are going to recommend to this officer that he should? A. Well, I can't stop looking at his hand on his wrist where he has his Taser in his other hand Q. Okay. A. So that's not how we teach people. Q. Okay. So if Ken did this and it wasn't perfect, but it wasn't out of policy, would you have preferred he did this or would you have preferred he pull out his baton and start baton strikes? A. But the mistakes that Officer Lopera made earlier led to this. Now, by that I mean he didn't let his partner know where he was going. He didn't get on the radio until he was already on the ground and already had tased him and asked for a Code Red. So what I would prefer to see him do would be to call out he was in a foot pursuit on the radio	because to even see any interaction you have to see the Venetian video with the truck and Tashii Farmer and the driver. So this catches up while he's already backed away from the truck, Tashii Farmer. Correct? BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. I think we're a slightly different interpretation, but I don't want to argue that point. A. But my issue is the use of the Taser. His justification is an attempted carjacking, and I don't see that. Q. Okay. A. So after that, if that is justified, based on there is an attempted carjacking, then I have no problems right now. Q. And Ken Lopera did see that. Can you give the benefit of the doubt to your officer? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: That's not what we do. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Okay. That's unfortunate. MR. LAGOMARSINO: Move to strike. (Playing video.)
and ask for a Code Red. I don't know how much time it took for him to catch up to him, but that could be the 30 seconds we're looking for to get another officer there. So each mistake could be small, but when it adds up it could be this is a better way to do this? Is he outside of policy? No. Q. These are the types of things that you hot wash after the fact to make your officers better, correct? A. Yes. Exactly. MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But it doesn't mean they're liable for the mistake, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Well, we don't determine liability. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But in this case, he's not up to this point, 2:05, he's not even out of policy, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: I haven't seen anything right there. He says that there was an attempted carjacking. The video certainly doesn't show that	BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Do you hear that, "Okay. Okay, sir"? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Does that sound like Ken Lopera or Tashii Farmer? A. I can't tell. (Playing Video) THE WITNESS: I think he said "I will." BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. I was just going to ask you about that. So he said get on your stomach, and then Tashii Farmer clearly said, "I will." Going back to that, "Okay. Okay, sir." Do you think that was Tashii? A. I think that was Tashii Farmer now. Q. You do? Okay. I'm going to play that again because I don't think it is but you're answering the question. (Playing Video) THE WITNESS: He said, "I will. I will." BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Is that "Okay, sir" A. I think that's him. Q. Okay. Fair enough. (Playing Video)

40 (Pages 154 to 157)

	Page 154	Page 156
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 34	BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now, let's play it a little bit more. Now, you hear the tick, tick, tick, tick, tick of the Taser, correct? And the various phrases, you understand it to be drive stun or is he still cycling it? What would you call that? A. I think it sounds like he's cycling it again. Q. Now, did Tashii Farmer prone out in NMI, neuromuscular incapacitation? A. He didn't prone out, but it looked like he was shaking. Q. It looked like Tashii Farmer was shaking? A. Yeah. That's what it looked like to me. Q. I'm not following you in terms of he was shaking. What does that A. When I watch the video Q. The whole thing is shaking. A. When he was cycling it, he did not go to the Q. The NMI? A. The NMI that we're looking for, but it looked like it was affecting him somewhat is my	assistance? A. Sure. Q. Now, is Ken on the hook for any of the commands that they, the security guards, gave Tashii that conflicted with his commands? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: Is he responsible for what they say? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Yes. A. Well, he asked them for help, okay? If you ask someone for help, you need to tell them exactly what you want them to do. Which he didn't, that I remember, communicate very well. And so that led to the conflicting commands. Q. So in the middle A. I think we're going to hear. Q. In the middle of the fight, Ken didn't supervise the Venetian security guards very well. A. Well, "Help me. Can you give me a hand? Can you give me some help?" Right?
25	Q. I understand your answer now. I didn't	25 something like that.
	Page 155	Page 157
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understand it prior to that. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So did you hear the "help me out" that Ken said? A. Yes. Q. And then we're going to start to see, see the legs in the left side of the screen here? A. Yes. Q. And then you'll see other these officers have testified that they're Venetian officers that approach A. Venetian security?	A. Whatever he said, you know, obviously, we're looking back now, but I would prefer, hey, we need to get cuffs on this guy. Something to give some kind of direction. Q. But nothing he did was out of policy at this point? A. No. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Now, at this point Ken Lopera is not in physical contact, but one of the officers, the security officers were, correct? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Correct.
14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Yes, sir. So we still don't have any Metro officers. A. Right. Q. And Ken calls out for them to help. Do you think, is it common for law 	15 BY MR. MCNUTT: 16 Q. And we're at 2:23? 17 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. 18 THE WITNESS: It sounds like he's cycling

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

	41 (Pages 158 to 161)
Page 158	Page 160
objection that at the point where it stopped, there's no depiction of physical contact between the security officer and Tashii Farmer. MR. ANDERSON: That's correct. At the point where it was stopped. The question was just prior to that. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Do you hear that, "Okay, sir. Okay, sir"? A. Yes. Q. Who is that from? A. I thought that was Tashii Farmer again. Q. Okay. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So we're at 2:32. And this is about the point where we know well, according to Detective Alsup, this is where he's holstering up the ECD. So I'm going to back it up so you can see. Let me ask you if you can see that. We're at 2:24. Tell me when he holsters up the ECD. (Playing Video)	Q. It's 2:33, and I'm going to point it over Ken Lopera's collar. A. Okay. Q. Do you see that? And do you see his thumb? So this is clearly his right hand, correct? A. Okay. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Did you hear that strike? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: I heard something that sounded like a strike, but I didn't see it on the video. HYMR. MCNUTT: Q. What do you think it was? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: I don't know. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. What do you think could have made that sound? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Same objection. THE WITNESS: I don't know. I'm guessing. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Do you know who Detective Casey Kirkegard is?
BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So I just stopped it at 2:31. Can you see Tashii Farmer's hands? A. Yes. Q. What are his hands doing? A. They're moving around behind his back. Q. Are his hands being restrained? A. No. Q. And is that are they behind his back or in front of him? A. I don't know. It's kind of blurry right there, but it looks like they were behind his back and they've moved now to the side. I don't know. Q. Now, tell me if at any point how would you describe this resistance? A. To me that's still active because this is common for people that don't want to be handcuffed. He just doesn't want to get the handcuffs on, and he's moving his hands so you can't handcuff him. (Playing Video) BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Do you see Tashii Farmer's hand in the video? A. No. Right now where you've got it stopped	Page 161 A. Yes. From CIRT. Q. Yeah. I asked her that question. She said it was the wind. That's what she said. A. Well, I don't think it was the wind. Q. Do you think it would have been Tashii Farmer punching Ken Lopera? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: It could have been. But it could have been Ken Lopera or one of the security guards punching someone. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Okay. A. It sounds like something hitting clothing. Q. And something hitting clothing that the body-worn cam mic picked up, correct? A. Yes. Q. So were you ever a detective? A. Yes. Q. So would that imply to you that the strike landed near the body-worn cam mic? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: No, you can't tell. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But we know Tashii Farmer's right hand was

	42 (Pages 162 to 165)
Page 162	Page 164
1 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form.	Q. I didn't ask you if you could see it. I
2 BY MR. MCNUTT:	2 just said coupled with the fact that that's what Ken
3 Q. Isn't that true?	said in his CIRT statement.
4 A. Well, we saw it on video that it was free,	4 A. Yeah. I can only go by what I see. And
but it doesn't show it coming towards Lopera or	5 that was, you know, this is all things that we looked
6 any I mean, I can't evaluate just the noise.	6 at and talked about.
7 Q. But don't we always evaluate partial	You know, the officer has a perception and
8 evidence? Isn't that what we call circumstantial	he reports what he saw and what he did for use of
9 evidence?	9 force and it has to be justified.
10 MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form.	Now, what I see is someone that doesn't
11 BY MR. MCNUTT:	want to be handcuffed. He may or may not have thrown
Q. I grant you that we don't have a freeze	one punch, but he still is resisting his hands being
	placed behind his back, which is not to me aggressive
frame of Tashii Farmer punching Ken Lopera, but we see his hand free and then we hear a strike hit Ken	resistance. It's someone who doesn't want to be
Lopera's body-worn cam.	handcuffed, which we see almost all the time.
Boperus couly from cum.	O. So let's go with one hypothetical that
71. I suit to that its said garde and	there was no aggressive resistance whatsoever.
(i laying video)	There's just active resistance, correct?
BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. So do you see how the body the camera is	19 A. Right.
· ·	Q. Was all of Ken's use of force up to this
vory strate, and moving around.	21 point was within policy, correct?
1. 100.	22 MR, LAGOMARSINO: Objection. Form.
Q. Doos that imply to you that I almost	23 THE WITNESS: If you also agree that the
is complying with their population and to get on me	, ,
Storitori ara stay star.	ruser was justified first of all, i.e. seedage in
A. The only thing it implies to me is Ken	Taser cycles were too many compared to the three it
Page 163	Page 165
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes.	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer?	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining.
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible?	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible? Q. Yes.	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance here, and Ken Lopera is about to employ the LVNR or
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible? Q. Yes. A. Yes.	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance here, and Ken Lopera is about to employ the LVNR or some form of a neck restraint, correct? The LVNR is
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And if so, wouldn't that mean that it	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance here, and Ken Lopera is about to employ the LVNR or some form of a neck restraint, correct? The LVNR is authorized in this low level use of force for active
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And if so, wouldn't that mean that it was aggravated aggressive resistance, per the	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance here, and Ken Lopera is about to employ the LVNR or some form of a neck restraint, correct? The LVNR is authorized in this low level use of force for active resistance, correct? At that time?
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And if so, wouldn't that mean that it was aggravated aggressive resistance, per the definition?	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance here, and Ken Lopera is about to employ the LVNR or some form of a neck restraint, correct? The LVNR is authorized in this low level use of force for active resistance, correct? At that time? A. At that time, yes.
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And if so, wouldn't that mean that it was aggravated aggressive resistance, per the definition? A. Yeah. I guess if that is what he's	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance here, and Ken Lopera is about to employ the LVNR or some form of a neck restraint, correct? The LVNR is authorized in this low level use of force for active resistance, correct? At that time? A. At that time, yes. Q. Do you know the policy, if it's per Metro
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And if so, wouldn't that mean that it was aggravated aggressive resistance, per the definition? A. Yeah. I guess if that is what he's saying.	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance here, and Ken Lopera is about to employ the LVNR or some form of a neck restraint, correct? The LVNR is authorized in this low level use of force for active resistance, correct? At that time? A. At that time, yes. Q. Do you know the policy, if it's per Metro policy, for the officer employing the LVNR to keep
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And if so, wouldn't that mean that it was aggravated aggressive resistance, per the definition? A. Yeah. I guess if that is what he's saying. Q. And coupled with the fact that Ken Lopera	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance here, and Ken Lopera is about to employ the LVNR or some form of a neck restraint, correct? The LVNR is authorized in this low level use of force for active resistance, correct? At that time? A. At that time, yes. Q. Do you know the policy, if it's per Metro policy, for the officer employing the LVNR to keep the encircling arm in place until the suspect is
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And if so, wouldn't that mean that it was aggravated aggressive resistance, per the definition? A. Yeah. I guess if that is what he's saying. Q. And coupled with the fact that Ken Lopera said in his CIRT statement that Tashii Farmer was	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance here, and Ken Lopera is about to employ the LVNR or some form of a neck restraint, correct? The LVNR is authorized in this low level use of force for active resistance, correct? At that time? A. At that time, yes. Q. Do you know the policy, if it's per Metro policy, for the officer employing the LVNR to keep the encircling arm in place until the suspect is handcuffed?
Lopera is moving his arm. Q. Okay. Why his arm? What do you mean? A. Because his body camera is attached to his lapel on his shoulder. Q. How many officers participated in handcuffing Tashii Farmer? A. I believe four. Q. Based upon that fact alone, do you think Tashii Farmer was resisting throughout the handcuffing process? A. Was he resisting being handcuffed? Yes. Q. Is it possible that what we saw at around 2:34 was a strike by Tashii Farmer? A. Is it possible? Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And if so, wouldn't that mean that it was aggravated aggressive resistance, per the definition? A. Yeah. I guess if that is what he's saying. Q. And coupled with the fact that Ken Lopera	should have been. He should have holstered his Taser and went completely hands-on with both hands. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. But A. Instead of continued to use the Taser, which for the most part the extra cycles appeared like it didn't work like the first three. So why would he keep doing it? Q. He was in the heat of the moment and A. Right. Q and sympathetic response A. Right. If that was all that happened, then he would get some retraining. Q. So assuming we're just in active resistance here, and Ken Lopera is about to employ the LVNR or some form of a neck restraint, correct? The LVNR is authorized in this low level use of force for active resistance, correct? At that time? A. At that time, yes. Q. Do you know the policy, if it's per Metro policy, for the officer employing the LVNR to keep the encircling arm in place until the suspect is

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

	43 (Pages 166 to 169)
Page 166	Page 168
encircling arm around Tashii Farmer's neck, and as you testified earlier, we don't know how much, if any, pressure was being applied, but he's authorized and per Metro policy to keep that in place until Tashii Farmer is in handcuffs, correct? A. That's what policy says. Q. Okay. And do you know if he did that in this case? A. Did he do that or did he get handcuffed? Q. No. Did Ken Lopera keep his encircling arm in place until Tashii Farmer was handcuffed? A. Yes. MR. MCNUTT: Andre, let me look at my notes, but I'll turn you over to him for any follow-up, and then I may have one or two to finish out. MR. ANDERSON: I have about ten minutes. I'll go before Andre. MR. MCNUTT: Thank you for your time. THE WITNESS: No problem. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 2:48 p.m. We are going off the record. (A recess was taken from 2:48 p.m. to 2:50 p.m.)	BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. In your experience as an officer, should Crumrine have been able to tell how much pressure was being applied to the neck? A. If it was applied correctly, he should be able to tell. Q. Is it possible that the encircling arm was just in the area of the neck and not applying any pressure? A. Yes. Q. When Sergeant Crumrine arrived, what should have been his initial focus? What should have been his first goal with respect to Mr. Farmer? A. The he should be ensuring the tactics used by the officers are effective. And if not, change tactics. Q. And you've talked about Metro has a policy with respect to duty to intervene, correct? A. Yes. Q. Is giving verbal commands a form of intervention? A. Yes. Q. Is going hands-on to assist with handcuffing a form of intervention? A. Yes.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is approximately 2:50 p.m. We're back on the record. EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Chief McGrath, I just want to follow up on some testimony that you just gave to Mr. McNutt. You stated that it's policy that when an LVNR or neck restraint is being performed that it's policy to keep the encircling arm in place until handcuffing is complete, correct? A. Yes. Q. You also testified earlier that from your watching of the video, you could not tell at any time how much pressure, if any, Lopera was applying to Mr. Farmer's neck; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. Based upon your training and experience and your review of this case, when Officer Crumrine first arrived, would he have been able to tell how much pressure, if any, Officer Lopera was applying to Mr. Farmer's neck? MR. LAGOMARSINO: Form. THE WITNESS: No. He could not. I could not tell.	Page 169 Q. Did Sergeant Crumrine give verbal commands? A. I'm sorry, I was moving. Q. You're fine. Did Sergeant Crumrine give verbal commands to Officer Lopera? A. Yes. Q. Did Sergeant Crumrine go hands-on and attempt to facilitate handcuffing? A. Yes. Q. Do you agree in this case that Sergeant Crumrine actually intervened? A. He did some intervention. I wish he would have done more. Q. Why do you wish he would have done more? A. Because we expect more of our supervisors. Q. Were you holding Sergeant Crumrine to a higher standard than, say, Officer Tran and Flores? A. Yes. Q. And is that based upon Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department's policies? A. Well, it's based on several things. First of all, Officer Tran and Flores were on different parts of Officer Farmer (sic), and they're focused on what they're doing to get him in custody. And Officer Sergeant Crumrine is seeing the overall use of force, and so he should take charge of

	44 (Pages 170 to 173)
Page 170	Page 172
that scene and start directing people of what to do. Q. So your criticisms of Sergeant Crumrine are based upon his actions as a supervisor and not as a regular officer? A. That's correct. Q. If you were evaluating him as a regular officer, would you believe that he intervened in this case? A. Yes. Q. And did you believe that Tran and Flores intervened by facilitating handcuffing? A. Yes. Q. Then you were asked a question earlier by Mr. Lagomarsino where you said at some point you would like to you would like to see an officer physically pry the arms off the neck of the suspect; is that fair? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Would an officer ever pry the arms off the neck of a suspect before handcuffing was complete? A. On an LVNR? Q. On an LVNR, yes. A. Probably not.	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. These are crime scene photos that were taken by Metro after the fact, starting with LVMPD 2254 and going all the way through and inclusive of 2273. These pictures appear to be mostly from the back of the house but around the Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf where the initial interaction occurred; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Do you see anywhere in these almost 20 pictures where it says that that's a restricted area or that it's employee only? A. No. (Exhibit 11 was marked for identification.) BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. I'm handing you some photos that were taken after the fact of Officer Lopera's Taser. They're Bates for the record 2309, 2310 and 2311. I'll have you look at 2310. What does 2310 depict? A. The sticker that's on the Taser. Q. And it has a warning in capital letters
Q. Would you want the suspect to be handcuffed before you took any physical intervention against another officer? A. Yes. Q. In your review of the evidence in this case in your role in the use of force or in tactical board, when Sergeant Crumrine arrived, did he have any information that would have led him to believe that excessive force was being used based upon what he perceived? A. No. Q. When Sergeant Crumrine and Officers Flores and Tran arrived, would they have been justified in believing that Ken Lopera was using reasonable force? A. Yes. Q. So it's reasonable for an officer to assume that other officers have acted reasonably prior to their arrival? A. Yes. MR. ANDERSON: I have nothing further. THE WITNESS: Very efficient. MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. (Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.)	with an exclamation point in orange and yellow, correct? A. Yes. Q. And is one of the warnings that the Taser can cause death or serious injury? A. No. Unless I'm missing it. Q. Go to the second bullet down. So I'll reask the question. A. Under warning? Q. Under warning does it say that A. Oh, there it is. Q it can cause death A. It can cause death or serious injury. Q. It says that on the warning, correct? A. Yes. Q. Do you believe that warning to be false? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Do I believe it to be false? No. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. So in certain situations, the use of a Taser apparently can be considered deadly force? Let me rephrase. I'm not saying how Metro characterizes or classifies deadly force. I'm just saying in everyday

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

	45 (Pages 174 to 177)
Page 174	Page 176
terms, can a Taser kill somebody? A. With other factors, yes. Q. So let's talk about those. So if somebody is perceived to be high on illegal drugs, could that enhance the risk of death? A. I would think so. I don't know for sure. Q. There was some discussion earlier about what Lopera may or may not have perceived in terms of Farmer being allegedly under the influence, was the words he used. Does using the LVNR on somebody who is under the influence of drugs present a heightened risk of death or serious injury? A. Not that I'm aware of. Q. Now, even though Metro does not classify the LVNR as a deadly force option, if used incorrectly, the incorrect use of an LVNR can kill somebody, correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure if Taser has been responsible for those deaths, but people who have been tased have died. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. And let me rephrase the question. Let's talk about the LVNR.	you release them even though they're not handcuffed? A. So the only factor you're leaving out is the other officers that were there at that point. Someone should say whether that person is unconscious. And if they are unconscious, it should be very easy to handcuff them. So yes, if people are unconscious, you can release that hold and handcuff them. However, multiple we do train that the second or third officer does the handcuffing while the first officer is in the LVNR. Q. Right. And you were presented with various clips today. But you have not done, recently at least or in your memory, an analysis of what the officers there said to Lopera in terms of him being out when I say him, Tashii Farmer, being out or unconscious. You haven't done that analysis today, correct? A. No. Q. So if they're saying about a minute in he's out, let him go, that would appear to you to mean that he's out and he should be let go, correct? A. Yes. Q. Today you were asked questions about methamphetamine being in Tashii Farmer's system, and
A. Okay. Q. An LVNR can kill somebody if it's applied incorrectly, correct? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: That's correct. But then it's not an LVNR. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Well, I mean, even if you correctly applied strike that. Even if you apply an LVNR in the proper position with the hands locked, if that LVNR is held too long, that could kill somebody, correct? A. I'm not aware of that. But when someone's rendered unconscious, they should be released from that hold. Q. And to that point, you're getting a lot of questions today from all the lawyers admittedly in a vacuum, right? A. Yes. Q. You've got to look at the entire case. So the question was asked, do you release the LVNR before you handcuff, right? But there wasn't a fact thrown in there so let me ask you this question: If you've got somebody in a restraint or an LVNR for over a minute and they appear to be unconscious, do	the only phrase that I heard being used was under the influence. But let's just talk about under the influence. Are you equating under the influence with in his system, or are you saying that he was drunk on meth basically? A. It's hard for me to answer your question without putting this whole case in perspective because Lopera said he thought he was under the influence. Now we know it was under meth because we've got the toxicology back. So it's up to that officer to articulate why he thought he was under the influence. Q. Okay. A. So we are somewhat now knowing that it was meth. So it's like cheating. Q. But are you saying he was under the influence or it was in his system? MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know what the difference is. If it's in his system, he's under the influence. The amount would cause a greater evidence that you could tell, but there are drugs that stay in your system for a long time.

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

		46 (Pages 176 to 161)
	Page 178	Page 180
1	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:	 Q. Based probably well, based on the
2	į	evidence that we have in front of us, you've never
3	Q. Just so we get our bearings, if somebody	heard any testimony or seen any evidence that Officer
4	has a beer and alcohol is in their system, do you	Lopera said stop to Tashii Farmer before they got to
5	consider them to be under the influence?	
	A. They're yes, under the influence, but	and arriving arou, is that correct.
6	there's nothing that you could say in other words,	The That's correct.
7	you're under the influence but you haven't reached	Q. And the mere fact that a suspect may not be
8	the illegal point for your driving.	complying with a command does not necessarily equate
9	Q. Now, there was some testimony about Officer	9 to a use of force greater than what's allowed under
10	Lopera talking to CIRT and giving a statement and	the use of force continuum; is that correct?
11	then not speaking at the use of force board.	A. That's correct.
12	Did Officer Lopera, to your understanding,	Q. Does a suspect who is being tased who is
13	invoke his Fifth Amendment right against	saying, "okay, I will," and "okay, sir," indicate
14	self-incrimination by not testifying at the use of	14 compliance to you?
15	force board?	A. Well, verbally he's saying that he's going
16	A. I don't know his reason for not testifying.	to comply. And I believe that's what CIRT meant when
17	And I wasn't involved in those discussions. But I	they said they didn't give him enough opportunity to
18	assume that's it because the criminal case was still	18 comply.
19	ongoing.	Q. Because I think your testimony was Lopera's
20	Q. Okay. Officer Lopera and his lawyers have	commands taken as a whole and not in two-second clips
21	hired an expert that characterized Officer Lopera's	were very confusing, correct?
22	conduct as compassionate.	MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.
23	Do you characterize Officer Lopera's	THE WITNESS: So there were some confusing
24	conduct as compassionate?	commands and there was some quick cycles of the ECD
25	MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form.	that not only I thought, but the rest of the board
	Page 179	Page 181
1	THE WITNESS: No.	who reviewed the case thought was excessive.
2	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:	2 BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:
3	Q. Now, you've heard a number of questions	Q. I wanted to clarify on the issue of the
4	about was there a right to detain him and did he	strikes. Were you saying that it was unclear that
5	comply and could he have chased him. I'm not going	5 the 10 to 12 strikes completely missed Farmer, or 6 that you're just not clear on where they hit Farmer?
6	to ask about that. I'm going to ask some very simple	and you're just not bloom on whore they have a dimer.
7	questions.	A. Thi not creat the definition of a strike.
8	Does the right to detain a suspect equate	is a sume a panen uncorn of a panen connecting.
9 10	to the right to inflict excessive force on that	if you're saying there was 10 to 12 paneties thrown, 1
11	suspect?	agree. If you're saying 10 to 12 strikes, I don't see it on the video. I can't tell how many hit and
12	MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: There's no right to inflict	how many missed.
13	excessive force for any reason.	13 Q. Have you strike that.
14	BY MR. LAGOMARSINO:	Detective Alsup testified that he did a
15	Q. There were some questions before you	15 frame-by-frame review of the video to assess the
16	watched the video earlier in Mr. McNutt's questioning	number of strikes. Did you do a frame-by-frame
17	where it was asked of you on the body cam video did	review of the video?
18	Lopera say "stop." I just want to make sure we're	18 A. I did not, but CIRT presented it frame by
		frame. But when I watched the video realtime, I
19	clear for the record. And you said ves. Liust want	
19 20	clear for the record. And you said yes. I just want to make sure you're talking about he used the word	
	to make sure you're talking about he used the word	don't see that many strikes hitting him.
20	to make sure you're talking about he used the word "stop" out in the driving area, not in the stairwell	don't see that many strikes hitting him. Q. Okay. Did you, as part of your review,
20 21	to make sure you're talking about he used the word "stop" out in the driving area, not in the stairwell or in the Venetian, that we can tell?	don't see that many strikes hitting him. Q. Okay. Did you, as part of your review,
20 21 22	to make sure you're talking about he used the word "stop" out in the driving area, not in the stairwell or in the Venetian, that we can tell? A. Yes. You're right. I didn't see it	don't see that many strikes hitting him. Q. Okay. Did you, as part of your review, assess the autopsy photos that would show bruising on
20 21 22 23	to make sure you're talking about he used the word "stop" out in the driving area, not in the stairwell or in the Venetian, that we can tell?	don't see that many strikes hitting him. Q. Okay. Did you, as part of your review, assess the autopsy photos that would show bruising on different parts of Tashii Farmer's body?

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

	47 (Pages 182 to 185)
Page 182	Page 184
If you have a suspect who is observed to be unconscious after a period of time in the LVNR, and the officer is not releasing the LVNR despite being told to do so, does it satisfy the duty to intervene by simply saying "stop" and not doing anything else? MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form. MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: So we would prefer that officers stopped when they were told to. And if they don't stop and the use of force is excessive, we expect officers to intervene physically to stop them. And not just on the LVNR. If someone was punching someone and they didn't stop, you wouldn't just let them keep punching them. You would grab them. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Now, there was some testimony about whether you could tell if Lopera was rear-naked choking or LVNRing. Okay? However, on the body-worn camera, Lopera used both terms. He said that he choked him out, correct? A. Yes. Q. And he also said that, "I rear-naked his ass," correct? A. Yes.	A. Yes. Q. Did you have a chance to review the transcript of that deposition after you gave the deposition? A. Yes. This morning. Q. You reviewed it again this morning? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any changes that you would make to that deposition transcript? A. Nothing other than what I talked about today, which was I don't have a bureau that was assigned to me that was assigned then. Q. Understood. Do you have any data which indicates that civilians are confused that officers that wear the green uniforms don't recognize those individuals to be law enforcement officers? A. No. Q. When you watched Ken Lopera's body-worn cam at any time and after Tashii Farmer fled down the employee-area hallway, did you see any other patrons in those hallways? A. No. Q. Was Tashii Farmer in view when Ken Lopera was running through the hallways and the stairwells?
Q. And that's part of all the evidence that you have to consider, correct? A. Yes. Q. And if a suspect is passed out or unconscious, does that indicate that there was pressure being applied to his neck? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. To you? A. While someone's applying the LVNR? Q. Or the restraint, either one, yeah. A. Yes. MR. LAGOMARSINO: No further questions. MR. LAGOMARSINO: No further questions. MR. MCNUTT: I just have a couple of questions. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. You gave another deposition about these same events in the case we referred to as the Estate of Tashii Farmer versus Las Vegas Metro, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that deposition was December 27th, 2017, correct?	A. No. He lost view of him. Q. Right. So there was a question about did Ken Lopera give a command for Tashii Farmer to stop. Do you typically yell for someone to stop when you can't see them? A. Not typically. Q. With respect to a suspect's hands versus what they're saying, which matters more to you, what they're doing with their hands or what they're saying with their mouth in terms of compliance? A. What they're doing. Q. With their hands, correct? A. Yes. Q. What's the definition of "excessive force"? MR. ANDERSON: Objection. Form. BY MR. MCNUTT: Q. Roughly. Paraphrasing. A. Excessive force is the force applied that is outside of policy in excess of what is required to gain compliance. That's off the top of my head. Q. Sounds good. At what point in these events did Las Vegas Metro gain compliance of Tashii Farmer? A. At what point did we gain compliance? O. From Tashii Farmer.

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

	48 (Pages 186 to 189)
Page 186	Page 188
A. At some point when he was on the ground being LVNR'd. Q. Okay. I don't know that we marked it, but it was the arrest report. Is that Exhibit B? Could you please and turn to page 5 of 8. A. Yes. Q. Do you see where, what you recognize the numbers along the side to be the timestamp from the body-worn cam. That's what Detective Alsup testified to, correct? Or do you know that? A. I'm sorry, can you Q. Detective Alsup said A. I was reading your Q. That's okay. Detective Alsup put the timestamps from the body-worn cam down the left side in the column. A. I see it. Q. So if you go down to three minutes and one second, Sergeant Crumrine arrived and said, "Put your hands behind your back." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. 3:13 Officer Lopera says, "Is he out yet?" 3:15 Farmer gasps. 3:18 Lopera asked, "Is he out yet?"	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. ANDERSON: Q. Chief McGrath, do you agree that once handcuffing was completed, all force stopped by all the officers? A. Yes. Q. So if an officer during the handcuffing process were to issue a command to loosen up or to let him go to Officer Lopera, you would expect or you would hope Officer Lopera would follow that command, correct? A. Yes. Q. Would it be reasonable for that officer to assume while they're still intending to handcuff the suspect that Officer Lopera did hear the command and did, quote, loosen up or, quote, let him go by releasing the pressure but not releasing the encircling arm? A. Yes. Q. In fact, it would be policy that he would keep the encircling arm around the neck, correct? A. Yes. Q. So it's justifiable for an officer who gives such a command to loosen up or let go to assume that Officer Lopera followed that command,
At any point up to 3:19, and take a minute and review the prior pages if you want, has Officer Lopera been told by any other Metro officer to release the hold or do anything different to Tashii Farmer? A. No. Q. Where is the first time Ken Lopera is given a command to do something different? A. Well, at 3:25 Officer Tran says, "Let him go." Q. And we now understand that that actually wasn't Officer Tran, that was Officer Crumrine. That's been their testimony. And then one second later Lopera says, "Are you sure?" And Tran or Crumrine replies, "Yeah." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Do you think that one second, assuming that's accurate, is too long of a delay for Ken Lopera to respond to the command to let him go? A. Do I think one second is too long? No. MR. MCNUTT: No further questions. MR. ANDERSON: I just have about three. I'll be quick.	despite the fact his encircling arm was still on the neck? A. Yes. MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. No further questions. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Just a couple more. The question was asked did Officer Lopera see Farmer in the context of calling out for him to stop. Do you remember that? A. Yes. MR. MCNUTT: In the hallway. MR. LAGOMARSINO: In the hallway. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. Did you did you see Officer Lif present in the hallway A. No. Q when he yelled out for Officer Lif? A. No. Officer Lif didn't follow him in the hallway. Q. In terms of what's written here on the page about who said what

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

Page 190	Page 192
A. Yes. Q would you defer to the video, an analysis of the video, or would you defer to the page? A. Well, I would hope that this page reflects what's in the video. Q. But if the video depicts other statements that are made, and they happened not to be here, would you defer to the video? MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form. THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. LAGOMARSINO: Q. If somebody has been placed in an LVNR or neck restraint and they're released, and they're unconscious, and they're not reviving, would you expect your officers to immediately start providing medical attention? MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form	Page 192 CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT PAGE LINE CHANGE REASON LINE CHANGE REASON REASON It is is in the part of the
MR. MCNUTT: Objection. Form. MR. ANDERSON: Join. THE WITNESS: They should attempt to render medical aid. But they are required to call for medical. MR. LAGOMARSINO: No further questions. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the video deposition of Deputy Chief John McGrath.	my deposition in said action; that I have read, corrected and do hereby affix my signature to said deposition. DEPUTY CHIEF JOHN MCGRATH Deponent
The original media of today's testimony will remain in the custody of Las Vegas Legal Video. The time is approximately 3:21 p.m. and we are going off the record. (The videotaped deposition was concluded at 3:21 p.m.) (The videotaped deposition was concluded at 3:21 p.m.)	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of Nevada, do hereby certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given to the best of my ability. Further, that before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [X] was [] was not requested pursuant to NRCP 30(e). I further certify I am neither financially interested in the action, nor a relative or employee of any attorney or party to this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my name. GALE SALERNO, RMR, CCR #542